

The Effect of Retirement on Consumption

DATE

29/05/2019

VENUE

ESRI, Whitaker Square,
Sir John Rogerson's Quay,
Dublin 2

AUTHORS

Paul Redmond
Seamus McGuinness



Consumption in Retirement

Workers know that retirement will occur in the future (typically around 65 years of age)

Standard lifecycle model: agents smooth lifetime consumption in response to expected income changes

However, evidence shows consumption declines at retirement

- Haider and Stephens Jr. (2007); Villaverde and Krueger (2007); Banks et al. (1998); Hamermesh (1984); Laitner and Silverman (2005)

Apparent “retirement consumption puzzle”

Consumption in Retirement

Recent work attributes consumption decline to work-related expenses

Meals outside the home & clothing

- Battistin et al. (2009); Luengo-Prado and Sevilla (2012); Miniaci et al. (2010); Aguila et al. (2011)

Food consumption smoothed by substituting time for expenditure

- Aguiar and Hurst (2005); Stancanelli and Van Soest (2012); Agarwal et al. (2015)

Our Contribution

Use HBS data from 1987 to 2016 to examine how consumption changes as individuals move into retirement in Ireland

Compared to existing work, we study a wider range of nondurable consumption categories

- Researchers often constrained to food expenditure only (Smith, 2006)
- Food may be an unsuitable proxy for total consumption (Attanasio and Weber, 1995)

Examine heterogeneous effects by household type and gender

Data

Household Budget Survey (1987 to 2016)

- 1987-88; 1994-95; 1999-00; 2004-05; 2009-10; 2015-16

Detailed expenditure

- Approx. 600 items of expenditure in 2015
- Aggregate into 7 nondurable categories
- Meals at home; meals outside the home; clothes and shoes; leisure; alcohol; tobacco; holidays

Data on household income, composition and economic status of household members

Data on characteristics such as age, education, gender

Descriptive Statistics

	Wave 1	Wave 2	Wave 3	Wave 4	Wave 5	Wave 6
Years	1987-1988	1994-1995	1999-2000	2004-2005	2009-2010	2015-2016
Income (constant 2015 Prices)						
Working	€467	€534	€709	€957	€1,006	€902
Retired	€253	€292	€356	€464	€570	€550
Expenditure (as a % of income)						
Working	47%	43%	42%	32%	27%	28%
Retired	57%	51%	50%	41%	33%	31%
Sample size	1919	2174	2367	2277	2258	2795

Methodology

Follow cohorts over time to compare their pre-retirement and post-retirement spending

Look at employed individuals aged 55-64 years who appeared in the HBS survey at time period t

Look at the HBS survey ten years later, at time period $t+1$, and observe retired individuals who are aged 65-74 years

- Focus only on retirees who retired a maximum of ten years earlier

Methodology

Control for spending effects which may be related to other factors (e.g. business cycle, changing tastes, preferences etc.)

Use a control group consisting of individuals of a similar age who were working at both time t and time $t+1$

Subtract the change in spending of the control group from the change in spending of our treatment group

A difference-in-differences type estimator

$$\text{Spending}_{i,t} = \alpha + \delta T_i + \gamma Y_t + \beta T_i * Y_t + X_{i,t}'\theta + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

Results

Table 2: Effect of Retirement on Nondurable Spending

VARIABLES	Two Adults: Other Adult Working	Two Adults: Other Adult Not Working	One Adult
Retired	-18.68 [-13.89%] (33.67)	-29.62** [-12.75%**] (13.58)	-49.08*** [-33.64%***] (12.37)
T	-2.85 (22.34)	24.18*** (9.05)	35.85*** (8.54)
Y	-16.54 (33.60)	-2.73 (13.29)	-6.68 (12.57)
Male	-18.03 (16.32)	16.70* (10.08)	-2.71 (6.34)
Low Education	-109.45*** (15.93)	-95.70*** (9.07)	-66.80*** (8.75)
Medium Education	-37.33* (20.57)	-55.83*** (11.58)	-24.41** (10.83)
Year dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes
Constant	290.42*** (46.57)	242.45*** (34.09)	138.62*** (21.36)
Observations	507	1,511	1,171
R-squared	0.14	0.12	0.15

Results

One-Adult Households

VARIABLES	Meals Home	Meals Out	Clothes & Shoes	Leisure	Alcohol	Tobacco	Holidays
Retired	-0.60	-15.27***	-2.97	-8.67*	-4.69	-1.34	-15.54**
	(3.31)	(3.52)	(2.38)	(5.19)	(3.81)	(2.45)	(6.35)

Two-Adult Households (Other Adult Working)

VARIABLES	Meals Home	Meals Out	Clothes & Shoes	Leisure	Alcohol	Tobacco	Holidays
Retired	2.02	-16.61**	-4.77	10.52	5.09	5.27	-20.21
	(8.09)	(8.28)	(5.84)	(9.47)	(9.69)	(4.65)	(17.14)

Two-Adult Households (Other Adult Not Working)

VARIABLES	Meals Home	Meals Out	Clothes & Shoes	Leisure	Alcohol	Tobacco	Holidays
Retired	-4.36	-7.19*	-4.07	2.91	-6.88*	-2.22	-7.81
	(4.66)	(3.76)	(3.55)	(2.55)	(3.78)	(2.67)	(6.38)

Results

One-Adult Household (Male)

VARIABLES	Meals Home	Meals Out	Clothes & Shoes	Leisure	Alcohol	Tobacco	Holidays
Retired	-0.33	-14.39***	-0.15	-11.91**	-4.02	1.45	-16.89***
	(3.96)	(4.58)	(2.39)	(6.04)	(5.41)	(3.28)	(5.81)

One-Adult Household (Female)

VARIABLES	Meals Home	Meals Out	Clothes & Shoes	Leisure	Alcohol	Tobacco	Holidays
Retired	-1.29	-17.05***	-6.43	-2.41	-5.21	-6.31**	-14.32
	(6.09)	(5.65)	(5.75)	(12.35)	(3.72)	(2.87)	(18.63)

Results

Table A2: Probability of positive expenditure

Household Type	(2) Meals Home	(3) Meals Out	(4) Clothes & Shoes	(5) Leisure	(6) Alcohol	(7) Tobacco	(8) Holiday
One Adult	-0.00 (0.01) n=1159	-0.20*** (0.07) n=1159	-0.10 (0.06) n=1159	0.06 (0.05) n=1159	-0.04 (0.07) n=1159	0.03 (0.06) n=1159	-0.18*** (0.06) n=1159
Two Adults: Other Not Working	-0.00 (0.00) n=1494	-0.08 (0.06) n=1494	-0.05 (0.06) n=1494	-0.01 (0.02) n=1494	-0.02 (0.06) n=1494	0.04 (0.05) n=1494	-0.01 (0.06) n=1494
Two Adults: Other Working	0.01 (0.01) n=505	-0.17* (0.09) n=505	-0.13 (0.10) n=505	-0.04 (0.03) n=505	0.03 (0.09) n=505	0.18* (0.10) n=505	-0.17* (0.10) n=505
One Adult: Male	0.00 (0.02) n=683	-0.16* (0.08) n=683	0.00 (0.07) n=683	0.04 (0.07) n=683	-0.01 (0.08) n=683	0.13* (0.07) n=683	-0.20*** (0.07) n=683
One Adult: Female	0.01 (0.01) n=476	-0.31*** (0.12) n=476	-0.30** (0.12) n=476	0.08 (0.07) n=476	-0.08 (0.12) n=476	-0.20** (0.10) n=476	-0.10 (0.12) n=476

Results

Table A1: Effect of Retirement on Nondurable Spending (Expressed as % of Disposable Income)

	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Household Type	Meals Home	Meals Out	Clothes & Shoes	Leisure	Alcohol	Tobacco	Holiday
One Adult	5.91** (2.71) n=1159	-1.89 (1.47) n=1159	0.14 (1.19) n=1159	-0.38 (0.98) n=1159	1.03 (1.27) n=1159	0.04 (0.80) n=1159	-0.48 (1.00) n=1159
Two Adults: Other Not Working	9.91*** (3.72) n=1494	0.09 (0.52) n=1494	0.84 (1.16) n=1494	1.86*** (0.54) n=1494	0.63 (0.68) n=1494	0.33 (0.59) n=1494	0.32 (0.82) n=1494
Two Adults: Other Working	4.84** (2.18) n=505	-0.95 (1.15) n=505	-0.27 (1.24) n=505	1.33 (0.87) n=505	2.38* (1.23) n=505	1.21* (0.69) n=505	-0.91 (1.62) n=505
One Adult: Male	2.23 (3.55) n=683	-1.84 (1.95) n=683	1.17 (1.42) n=683	-1.70 (1.33) n=683	2.04 (1.80) n=683	0.53 (1.11) n=683	-1.09 (0.98) n=683
One Adult: Female	12.25*** (4.04) n=476	-2.15 (1.52) n=476	-1.13 (1.99) n=476	1.90 (1.48) n=476	-0.55 (1.00) n=476	-0.71 (0.83) n=476	0.26 (2.61) n=476

Conclusions (I)

Decline in non-durable spending in retirement

- 2 adult households (other adult not working): 13%
- 1 adult households: 34%
- 2 adult households (other adult working): no significant effect

Driven to a large extent by lower spending on meals outside the home

Meals at home unaffected

- Retired households smooth home food consumption by devoting a greater percentage of their income to this category

Conclusions (II)

Gender differences (one-adult households)

- Retired men spend less on meals outside the home, leisure and holidays
- Retired women spend less on meals outside the home and clothing

Decline in leisure spending may be of concern if it points to social isolation in retirement

- For some individuals, especially single people, work and social activities may be closely linked
- Existing evidence shows older men have a higher mortality risk from social isolation than older women (Yang et al., 2013)