THE ECONOMIC
RESEARCH INSTITUTE
MEMORANDUM SERIES
NO.21

Recent Demographic Developments in Ireland

by C. E. V. Leser

February 1965

Confidential: Not to be quoted until the permission of the Author and the Institute is obtained.

THE ECONOMIC RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Recent Demographic Developments in Ireland

by C. B. V. Leser

February 1965

1. INTRODUCTION

The history of population movements in Ireland presents many unusual features in comparison with other countries of the Western world. The effect on natural population increase of a high average age at marriage, together with a high proportion of men and women who never marry at all, was offset by a large family size in married couples; the natural population increase in turn was offset by a high emigration rate, resulting, until quite recently, in a steady decline in population size.

There have been some indications in recent years to the effect that these tendencies, if not reversed, are at least being modified. The appearance of the 1961 Population Census volumes, together with the Reports on Vital Statistics up to 1962, permit an analysis of demographic characteristics for a time not too far removed from the current date. Comparisons may be effected with the position at previous dates, though no attempt will be made here to go back further than 1926, the year of the first Population Census in the Free State.

The analysis presented here does not claim to be extensive. The improved collection of vital statistics introduced in the 1950s now yields a good deal of material which awaits a more detailed analysis. Some of this work may be done officially, other parts may be left to this investigator and others working in the field of population. Interrelationships between population and economic factors are only touched upon

here, and no projection beyond the near future has yet been made.

All that is attempted is to study some of the salient features in the fields of deaths, marriages, births, labour force and migration. The method of indirect standardisation, in which actual figures are compared with those expected on the basis of some assumption, has been extensively applied.

2. MORTALITY

The crude death rate, representing the number of deaths in relation to population size, was in the region of 14 per thousand from the 1920s until after the war, when it fell to a lower level of about 12 per 1,000; since the early 1950s there has been not noticeable further change. However, the crude death rate is not a fully satisfactory measure of mortality, and it should not be concluded that mortality conditions have remained static in the last decade.

The best way of measuring mortality is by means of the life table, showing the number of persons out of an initial total which, at existing mortality conditions, may be expected to reach various ages. Official life tables have been published for the average of three years around each Fopulation Census, the most recent one referring to the years 1950-52, though no doubt, a life table for 1960-62 will be published in due course.

1995年11月 - 大阪

Whilst the construction of a full life table is laborious, there is no difficulty in computing an abridged life table, giving the number of survivors at age 1, 5 and at subsequent 5-year intervals up to about 85. This has therefore been done both for males and females with regard to 1960-62. In addition, similar life tables have been constructed for 1955-57, but these,

being based not on an exact population count but merely on an estimated age distribution of the population, are more tentative and have only been used to estimate the life expectation at birth.

Table 1 gives the abridged life tables for 1960-62, shown together with extracts from the full life tables for 1950-52 (rounded for comparison).

Table 1. Survivors to each age, 1950-52 and 1960-62

			<u> </u>	
	Mal	es	Fema1	Les
Age	1950-52	1960-62	1950-52	1960-62
	Officially	Unofficial	Officially	Unofficial
	Published	Estimate	Published	Estimate
0.	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
1 5	9,509	9,668	9,610	9,741
5	9,409	9,617	9,526	9,697
10	9,368	9,592	9,492	9,676
15	9,337	9,573	9,460	9,662
20	9,282	9,539	9,399	9,645
25	9,192	9,490	9,306	9,613
3 C	9,088	9,432	9,198	9,576
35	8,966	9,360	9,078	9,513
40	8,818	9,256	8,926	9,423
45	8,62C	9,108	8,743	9,304
5 C	3,324	8,872	8,498	9,415
55	7,882	8,464	8,148	8,793
60	7,250	7,849	7,636	8,335
65	6,386	6,946	6,911	7,748
70	5,227	5,717	5,892	6,805
75	3,776	4,267	4,469	5,435
80	2,170	2,644	2,782	3,684
85	907	1,129	1,328	1,859

The table shows, for example, that more than one-half of all boys born may, with existing mortality conditions, be expected to survive to the age of 70, and over one-half of all girls born, to the age of 75. There has been an appreciable increase in the length of life during the 1950s, and the differential in favour of women appears to have become more marked.

From the life table, the expectation of life at birth may be derived, showing the arithmetic mean of the number of years which a new-born toy or girl will live under present conditions. In comparison with previous

Section 1995 the State of the Section 1995

periods, the picture presented by Table 2 is obtained.

Table 2. Expectation of life at birth 1925-27 to 1960-62

Period	Males years	Females years
1925-27	57.4	57.9
1935-37	58.2	59.6
1940-42	59.0	61.0
1945-47	60.5	62.4
1950-52	64.5	67.1
1955-57	67.0	70.1
1960-62	68.0	71.7

It is clearly seen that the trend towards a longer life, evident at all times and particularly so immediately after the last war, has continued. Moreover, women's life expectation which was hardly different from that of men in the 1920s has increased factor and is now almost four years greater.

The expectation of life at birth is a useful summary measure but conceals some interesting features. The improvement in mortality conditions has not invariably been evenly distributed over the various age groups of the population. A broad picture of these variations is presented in Table 3.

Infant mortality remained practically constant between the 1920s and 1940s but fell then, and the fall has continued. In this age group, the sex differential in mortality has, if anything, become narrower.

Among children, young adults and middle-aged women, on the other hand, the reduction in mortality has been steady and substantial. Seen in one way, the improvement is most spectacular in the case of children; 6 out of every 100 children aged one were expected to die before reaching the age of fifteen in the 1920s, but only

Table 3. Survivor ratios between selected ages(%)1925-27 to 1960-62

Ages	1926 -27	1935 -37	1940 -42	1945 -47	195C -52	1960 -62
0 40 4.	<u> </u>					02
O to 1; Males	92.3	92.0	91.9	92.5	95.1	96.7
Females	93.7	93.7	93.6	93.9	96.1	97.4
1 to 15:	!					
Males	94.3	95.1	96.1	97.0	98.2	99.0
Females	94.0	95.2	96.5	97.2	98.4	99.2
15 to 45:			1, 14	**.		15.5
Males	85.2	87.0	87.7	89.3	92.3	95.1
Females	83.9	86.1	86.7	88.5	92.4	96.3
45 to 65:			,		j	
Males	70.2	69.8	71.2	72.1	74.1	76.3
Females	70.3	71.9	73.8	75.6	79.3	83.3
65 to 80:	}					
Males	37.6	36.5	34.6	32.6	34.0	38.1
Females	40.8	39.8	38.8	38.4	40.3-	47.5
		L	<u> </u>			

1 out of 100 children is now so liable. There was and is practically no difference between boys and girls in this respect. Chances of survival used to be somewhat more favourable for young men than for young women, but the position has now been reversed.

The differences between the mortality experience of the sexes becomes more marked in middle and advanced age. The improvement for middle-aged men has been much less than that for middle-aged women, and at the upper end of the scale there was actually a decline in survivor ratios between 1925-27 and 1945-47, indicating that a large number of the deaths postponed from the ages under 65 now took place between the ages of 65 and 30. More recently, the chances of old people to become octogenarians have improved, much more so for women than for men.

The existing records permit, for recent years, an analysis of mortality not only by age but also by conjugal condition. Since people pass on from one civil status group to another, this is conveniently done not

by means of life tables but by standardisation. For the years 1960-62, the expected number of deaths among single, married and widowed males has been computed on the assumption that the death rate of each single year of age was the same for each conjugal condition group, and the age structure of the three groups alone determined the number of deaths; the same has been done with females. Table 4 gives the comparison with actual deaths.

Table 4. Expected and Actual Deaths for each conjugal condition, 1960-62

	Expected		Actual
Conjugal condition	Number	Number	Expected=100
Males:	1 4 2 4	;	
Single	17,785	20,239	113.8
Married	25,551	22,615	88.5
Widowed	11,253	11,735	104.3
Tota1	54,589	54,589	100.0
Females:	· :		
Single	13,553	14,806	109.2
Married	12,539	11,131	88.8
Widowed	20,580	20,735	100.8
Total	46,672	46,672	100.0

The number of deaths is higher for single and lower for married men and women than expected on the basis of their age structure. This fact is generally explained in terms of selection, as the men and women who get married represent, on the whole, the healthier strata of the community which have better chances of survival.

If this explanation is accepted then, from
Table 4, the effect of selection upon the death rates
of single and married persons appears to be stronger for
men than for women. A woman's chances of survival thus
would either be less predictable from her state of health
than a man's, or else the state of her health has less

influence upon the chances of marriage than in the case A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE of men.

Alternatively, it is possible that marriage itself has a beneficial effect in reducing mortality. There is some support for this view in the fact that mortality is higher for widowed than for married men and women, a fact which is not easily explained otherwise. The state of the s

Incidentally, one effect of the sex differences in mortality is that almost one-half of the women who die are expected to, and do, die as widows; the same applies to little more than one-fifth of all men.

3. NUPTIALITY

The first of the state of the s For the last few decades, the number of marriages has kept fairly stable near the 15,000 mark, and the marriage rate at about 5 marriages per thousand population. The marriage rate reached a peak of about 6 per thousand during the period 1942-46, after which time it settled down at a somewhat lower level, around **5.5.** Paradas ... The same of the same of the same

One might be tempted to conclude that the The State of Congression and increasing inclination to marry evidenced by the marriage boom of the 1940s is a merely temporary phenomenon. "This overlooks the fact that the number of marriages in one period affects the number of marriageable persons, i.e. single and widowed adolescents and adults, in the population and thus the number of marriages likely to result in subsequent periods with given marriage habits.

Better measures of nuptiality are the ratios of marriages to the number of males or females eligible for marriage. These ratios, together with the crude

147 C 38 1 150

marriage rate, are shown in Table 5 for the average of three years around each Census data.

A grant with the second of the second

Table 5. Measures of Nuptiality, 1925-27 to 1960-62

, at	e in the second			
		Marriages p	er thous	and of
			single	e and ed, aged
	Period	Population		i over
1000	·		Men	Women
	1925-27	4.58	20.7	21.9
* . : . *	1935-37	4.93	21.3	23.6
	1940-42	5.31	23.2	24.7
	1945-47	5.69	25.8	28.2
	1950-52	5.39	25.7	27. 9.
	1955-57	5.50	27.9	29.5
	1960-62	5.49	30.1	30.6

The state of the s

It is seen that in relation to the number of marriageable men and women, the number of marriages has shown no sign of decline, but on the contrary the ratio is still increasing. The ratio of marriages per marriageable men has grown faster than the ratio per marriageable women recently, on account of the fact that. the surplus of single and widowed men over women has been reduced from 50,000 in 1951 to fewer than 10,000 in 1961. It was said that single women used to emigrate to Britain organisa di to increase their chances of finding a husband; if so, it seems that with growing readiness of young men to enter the married state this is no longer an important Company of the State of the Sta consideration.

The marriage rate varies considerably from area to area. To some extent this is due to the . # 3 GA celebration and registration of marriages outside the area of the groom's residence; the statistical information available now permits correction for this factor.

 $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1} \times \mathcal{A}_{n-1} \times$

status structure may also be taken into consideration.

In 1960-62, 41,579 marriages took place for which the groom's residence was in Ireland (26 counties). From the grooms' age distribution and the number of single and widowed males in each county or borough in 1961, an expected number of marriages in each area has been computed, on the hypothesis that marriages of men in each age group were distributed over the various areas in proportion to the single and widowed men. In Table 6, the actual number of marriages is compared with the expected total for the area.

When due allowance has been made for the various factors, the regional differences in the incidence of marriage remain enormous. The number of marriages is relatively highest in the County Boroughs and Dun Laoghaire, and also high in the remainder of Dublin County, the adjacent County Wicklow and the very urbanised County Louth with its two sizeable towns, Drogheda and Dundalk. The Leinster counties of Carlow, Kildare, Meath, Westmeath and Wicklow are also fairly high up the scale in this respect.

Relatively few marriages took place in the Connaught and Ulster counties, in Clare and Kerry, as well as in Kilkenny, Laois and Longford. Offaly and most of the Munster counties, excluding their County Boroughs, occupy an intermediate position.

The effect of the higher marriage rates has been to reduce the proportion of single persons and conversely to raise the proportion of married persons in the population. Table 7 shows the proportions of ever married (married and widowed) among total males for various age groups at each Census date.

Table 6. Expected and actual marriages in each area, 1960-62.

Area	Expected] .	Actual
Area	Number	Number	Expected=100
Carlow	527	507	96
Dublin County Borough	6,616	11,777	178
Dun Laoghaire Borough	491	803	164
Dublin County (remainder)	1,430	1,621	113
Kildare	1,009	980	97
Kilkenny	1,025	760	74
Laois	802	602	75
Longford	506	336	66
Louth	947	1,117	118
Meath	980	913	. 93
Offaly	854	707	83
Westmeath	.810	744	92
Wexford	1,195	1,170	98
Wicklow	779.	929	119
Clare	1,274	848	67
Cork County Borough	986	1,629	165
Cork County Water 1	4,055	3,493	86
Kerry	1,870	1,303	70
Limerick County Borough	587	787	134
Limerick County	1,328	1,051	79
Tipperary North Riding	919	1 '	82
Tipperary South Riding	1,091	910	83
Waterford County Borough	345	5.00	145
Waterford County	698	608	87
Galway	2,514	1,575	63
Leitrim	616	354	57
Mayoradasa	1,849	1,137	61
Roscommon	1,013	552	54
Sligo	845	583	69
Cavan	1,028	687	67
Donega1	1,740	1,224	70
Monaghan	850	622	73
Ireland	41,579	41,579	100

Table 7. Ever married as % of all males

by age, 1926-61

	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
1926	1936	1946	1951	1961
.1 20.2 37.6 50.4 59.8 66.5 70.7 73.6 74.0 76.8 81.7	.1 3.8 17.5 36.6 60.7 65.3 67.1 72.5 73.9 75.2	20.3 39.7 62.1 66.5 69.7 72.0 74.9 760	.1 5.1 23.4 42.1 55.3 64.1 68.0 70.0 71.4 71.0 70.7 72.1	7.5 32.8 50.1 66.4 69.5 71.7 72.6 71.7 72.6 71.9
78.3	78.4	82.9	82.0	76.7 80.5
43.8	43.2 3 <u>4</u>	44.9 32.3	47.1 33.5	51.6 7 35.3
	.1 20.2 37.6 50.4 59.8 66.5 70.6 74.0 76.6 78.8 81.7 84.7 78.3	.1 .1 3.8 20.2 17.7 37.6 36.5 50.4 51.6 59.8 60.7 66.5 65.3 70.7 67.8 73.6 71.1 74.0 72.5 76.6 73.9 75.2 81.7 79.4 84.7 83.2 79.4 84.7 83.2 78.3 43.2	.1 .1 .2 .3 .8 .5 .0 .20 .2 .17 .7 .20 .3 .3 .5 .0 .20 .3 .3 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5	.1 .1 .2 .1 4.0 3.8 5.0 5.1 20.2 17.7 20.3 23.4 37.6 36.5 39.0 42.1 50.4 51.6 52.7 55.3 59.8 60.7 62.1 64.1 66.5 65.3 66.6 68.0 70.7 67.8 69.5 70.0 73.6 71.1 69.7 71.4 74.0 72.5 70.4 71.0 76.6 73.9 72.0 70.7 78.8 75.2 74.9 72.1 81.7 79.4 76.9 76.1 84.7 83.2 80.3 79.1 78.3 78.4 82.9 82.0 43.3 43.2 44.9 47.1

The proportion of males who are married or widowed has risen steadily since 1936 for each age group under 55 as well as all ages combined, though there have been some declines in the upper age groups. There are some noticeable differences in timing; for men between 40 and 55, the increase in nuptiality was already quite substantial by 1951. On the other hand, the lower age groups and particularly those under 30, had not been greatly affected by then; for these age groups the rising propensity to marry is largely of more recent origin.

Since a steep differential in nuptiality
between largely urban and largely rural areas has been
noted, it may be surmised that the observed increase in
nuptiality is to some extent related to the increasing
degree of urbanisation. Table 8 throws some light upon
this point.

Table 8. Proportion of males in town areas, and proportion of ever married males in town and rural areas...
1926-1961.

	13.11	*		
, males	of all	Ever married as	26-1	77
eas	Rural Ar	Town Areas	Males in town areas as % of all males	rear.
Spanish to the	30.1	32.9	30.0	1926
	30.2	33.8	33.1	1936
1000000	31.1	34.6	34.5	1946
	32.1	35.6	38.7	1951
	33.4	37.7	43.2	1961
		!	to the second	1

The proportion of males ever married has risen steadily both in urban and in rural areas; the rise has been somewhat more marked in the former than in the latter. Also, the town areas have gained in numerical importance, and this fact coupled with the somewhat higher nuptiality in the town areas appears to have made

a slight contribution to the general increase in the married and widowed section of the male population.

and the state of t

It may seem surprising that the ratios of ever married to all males differ so little between the two types of areas. This is, however, explained by differences in age structure. Whilst the rural areas contain the predominant share among the older family men and women internal migration brings a constant influx of young, largely unmarried, men and women into the towns, who keep the marriage rate at a high level but meanwhile reduce the proportions actually married.

Table 8 thus cannot help to assess conclusively the extent to which urbanisation has contributed towards raising nuptiality; a more sophisticated approach is called for in attempting to answer this question.

When individual age groups are analysed, the difference in marriage habits between urban and rural areas is shown up very clearly. The largest discrepancy is found in the age group 30-34 years. In 1926, the proportion of males who are married or widowed was 53.6% in town areas but only 29.4% in rural areas; the corresponding 1961 figures are 66.8% for towns and 36.2% for rural areas.

For any given age group, the proportions of ever married men in urban and in rural areas observed for 1926 have been applied to the number of males enumerated in both types of areas in 1951; the sum of the figures obtained, divided by all men of that age group in Ireland, gives an expected proportion ever married for 1951. A comparison of this ratio with the actual proportion ever married in 1926 attempts to show the effect of increasing urbanisation

whilst a comparison of expected and actual 1951 figures thus indicates the increase of nuptiality after the effect of urbanisation has been eliminated. The analysis has been carried out for each 5 year age group between 20 and 50, which are those in which substantial increases in proportions married have been observed, and has been repeated to give a comparison between 1951 and 1961.

Table 9. Analysis of changes in proportions

ever married for selected age groups,

1926-51 and 1951-61.

.....

-1... + 1 G

1 114

the second of the order of the second of the

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Ever n	arried as	% of all	males in a	ge group
Age	1006	1.95	1	1 96	1
group	1926	Expected	Actual	Expected	Actual
20-24	4.0	4.5	5.1	5.4	7.5
25-29	20.2	21.6	23,4	, 24.7	32.8
30-34	37.6	38.8	42.1	44.3	50.8
35-39	50.4	51.3	55.3	57.1	61.1
40-44	59.8	60.7	64.1	64.6	66.4
45-49	66.5	67.5	68.O	67.9	69.5

The conclusion to be drawn from the table is that the population movement from rural to town areas does not in itself explain more than a small fraction of the increase in the proportion of married men. The main explanation must be sought in changes which have taken place in marriage habits.

From the point of view of population growth, the key figure is, of course, the number of married women under 50 (or perhaps under 45) in the population. The proportion which this number bears to the total size of the female population is influenced by changes in both age structure and marriage habits. These two factors and their joint influence are shown in Table 10.

13 1 1

Art Art Stranger Commence

was in the first of the second of the second

Table 10. Proportion of women aged 15-49 and proportion married, 1926-1961.

		41,1			<u>.</u>
			Married womer as %		1 · · · · · 1
Year	Women aged as % of all	15-49 females	A11 women 15-49	A11 females	•
1926	48.4		38.5	18.6	1.57
1936	47.9		38 .5 em	18.5	
1941	48.7		38.3	18.6	
1946	48.2		42.4	20.4	,'
1951	46.1		45.1	20.8	
1956	44.6	<u> </u>	47.5	21.2	ata a mada tana a magamatan ang magamatan ang magamatan ang magamatan ang magamatan ang magamatan ang magamata Tanan ang magamatan ang ma
1961	42.7		50.4	21.5	⁹ .`

The proportion of the female population in the reproductive age group, and the proportion of this section actually married, remained practically constant between 1926 and 1941 but have since then moved in opposite directions. The relative decline in the number of women aged 15-49 was largely brought about by emigration. Its effect on the number of married women of childbearing age in relation to population size was, however, more than offset by the change in marriage habits which took place.

Comment of the Dec

The second of the second of the second

and hope the second contracting the second particles.

Search and the state of the sta

As far as nuptiality is concerned, the Irish

population thus seems geared to a higher rate of natural

population increase than before; changes in mortality

tend in the same direction. Other important factors,

summed under the heading of fertility, will be considered

separately.

4. FERTILITY

birth rate, the general fertility rate and the legitimate fertility rate, i.e. the ratio of births to total and the ratio of legitimate population or number of women aged 15-49, or the ratio of legitimate births to married women aged 15-49. As they

take to a different extent the age and civil status distribution of the population into account, they tell a somewhat different story, as seen from Table 11.

Table 11. Measures of fertility, 1925-27 to 1960-62 (3 year average).

	Total birth	s per 1,000	Legitimate births	
Years	Total population	Women aged 15-49	per 1,000 married women 15-49	
1925-27	20.6	86.2	217.5	
1935-37	19.4	83.1	208.5	
1940-42	20.0 .	83.0	209.4	
1945-47	23.0	96.5	219.3	
1950-52	21.5	95.1	205.4	
1955-57	21.1	95.5	197.5	
1960-62	21.6	101.6	198.3	

Until the end of the last war the three indicators moved very closely together, showing a fall in the birth rate in the 1930s followed by a recovery.

During the first ten years following the end of the war, the number of births per married women of childbearing age declined by about one-tenth; this was partly offset by higher nuptiality, but unfavourable changes in age structure helped to reduce the crude birth rate. More recently, the decline in legitimate fertility appears to have been arrested and the rising proportion of married women offset the changes in age distribution, to keep the crude birth rate stable.

For a more rigorous analysis, it is necessary to take into account changes in age distribution within the group of women aged 15-49. For 1960-62, the age distribution of mothers at birth is available, and with its help it is possible to derive two sets of expected birth rates per thousand population for previous dates. One set of figures is based on the assumption that the 1960-62 age-specific fertility rates for each 5 year age

group had applied to women of each age group at the previous Census dates. The second set of expected figures uses the 1960-62 legitimate and illegitimate agespecific fertility rates, applied to married women and to single or widowed women of each age group separately. In Table 12 the results are compared with the actual birth rate.

Table 12. Expected and actual birth rates, 1925-27 to 1960-62 (average).

Millerhald Security on your above in Security on a system or specification	Births per thousand population (3 year average)					
	Rected Expected	on basis of				
Period	Age structure	Age and marital status structure	Actual			
1925-27	25.7	19.3	20.6			
1935-37	25.6	odr 119.1	19.4			
1940-42	26.7	19.6	20.0			
1945-47	26.3	22.1	23.0			
1950-52	25.1	22.0	21.5			
1955-57	23.6	21.5	21.1			
1960-62	3 1 4 2 2.1. 6		21,6			

the first of the f

The actual course of the crude birth rate diverges sharply from that of the rate expected on the basis of the age structure but very little from that expected on account of age and civil status distribution. This may be interpreted as saying that the birth rate is largely explained by the number of married women in the various childbearing age groups; changes in the number of children born per married woman of a given age group appear to be minor in character.

be analysed in the same way as changes over time. There results for 1960-62 are presented in Table 13.

- Profession Act and Profession (1997) - Pro

Table 13. Expected and actual birth rate by areas, 1960-62.

Sublin County Borough 26.99 26.16 24.12 22.84 Sun Laoghaire 25.47 24.12 22.84 Sublin County remainder 27.11 30.63 27.10 Sildare 20.42 23.96 24.40 Silkenny 19.19 19.21 19.49 Saois 19.87 20.65 21.92 Songford 18.25 17.95 20.08 Songford 23.49 23.51 23.64 South 29.6 21.39 21.71 Settmeath 19.96 21.39 21.71 Settmeath 20.46 21.28 25.13 Sicklow 21.89 23.61 22.26 Sicklow 21.89 23.61 22.24 Sicre County 20.18 19.98 20.12 Sork County 20.18 19.98 20.12 Sirry 18.10 17.46 18.33 Sirry 18.56 17.59 19.70 Sirry 18.56		Births per thousand population per annum			
Age and civil status structure status st	\nee	Expect	Actual		
Sublin County Borough 26.99 26.16 24.12 22.84 Sun Laoghaire 25.47 24.12 22.84 Sublin County remainder 27.11 30.63 27.10 Sildare 20.42 23.96 24.40 Silkenny 19.19 19.21 19.49 Saois 19.87 20.65 21.92 Songford 18.25 17.95 20.05 Songford 23.49 23.51 23.64 South 29.96 21.39 21.71 Sieath 19.96 21.39 21.71 Sestmeath 20.46 21.28 23.46 Sestmeath 20.46 21.28 23.12 Sicklow 21.89 23.61 22.26 Sicklow 21.89 23.61 22.26 Sicret County Borough 24.83 24.58 25.33 Sork County 20.18 19.98 20.12 Sipperary North Riding 19.98 19.87 21.96 Saterfor	2,7 00				
Jun Laoghaire 25.47 24.12 22.84 Jublin County remainder 27.11 30.65 27.16 Jildare 20.42 23.96 24.40 Jikenny 19.19 19.21 19.49 Jaois 19.87 20.65 21.92 Jongford 18.25 17.95 20.05 Jouth 23.49 23.51 23.64 Jouth 19.96 21.39 21.71 Jeffaly 19.95 21.96 23.46 Jestmeath 20.46 21.28 23.11 Jestmeath 20.46 21.28 23.11 Jestford 19.91 21.13 21.28 Jicklow 21.89 23.61 22.46 Jicklow 20.18 19.98 20.12 <td>Carlow</td> <td>20.07</td> <td>21.71</td> <td>24.91</td>	Carlow	20.07	21.71	24.91	
Sublin County remainder 27.11 30.63 27.10 Sildare 20.42 23.96 24.40 Silkenny 19.19 19.21 19.43 Acois 19.87 20.65 21.92 Songford 18.25 17.95 20.05 South 23.49 23.51 23.64 South 19.96 21.39 21.71 Sffaly 19.95 21.96 23.46 Sestmeath 20.46 21.28 23.11 Sexford 19.91 21.13 21.28 Sicklow 21.89 23.61 22.40 Sicklow 20.18 19.98 20.12 Sicklow 19.98 19.98 20.12 Sicklow	Dublin County Borough	26.99	26.16	24.19	
Sildare 20.42 23.96 24.40 Silkenny 19.19 19.21 19.49 Acois 19.87 20.65 21.92 Songford 18.25 17.95 20.05 South 23.49 23.51 23.64 South 19.96 21.39 21.71 Sffaly 19.95 21.96 23.46 Sestmeath 20.46 21.28 23.11 Sexford 19.91 21.13 21.28 Sicklow 21.89 23.61 22.40 Stare 17.79 17.03 18.46 Sork County 20.18 19.98 20.12 Schrift County 20.18 19.98 20.12 Schrer County 20.18 19.98 20.12 Schreit County 18.56 17.46 18.36 Schreit County 18.56 17.59 19.70 Schreit County 18.56 17.59 19.70 Schreit County 18.56 17.59 20.20 19.85 Schreit Gounty 18.50 17.55	Dun Laoghaire	25.47	24.12	22.84	
ilkenny 19.19 19.21 19.49 aois 19.87 20.65 21.92 congford 18.25 17.95 20.08 couth 23.49 23.51 23.64 couth 19.96 21.39 21.71 ceath 19.95 21.96 23.46 21.96 23.46 21.28 23.11 destmeath 20.46 21.28 23.11 destmeath 19.91 21.13 21.25 dicklow 21.89 23.61 22.46 clare 17.79 17.03 18.46 clare 17.79 17.03 18.46 cork County Borough 24.83 24.58 25.33 cork County 20.18 19.98 20.12 cierry 18.10 17.46 18.36 cierry 18.10 17.46 18.36 cierry 18.56 17.59 19.70 cipperary North Riding 19.98 19.87 21.96 caterford County 19.57 20.20 19.85	Dublin County remainder	27,11	30,63	27.10	
19.87 20.65 21.92	Kildare	20.42	23.96	24.40	
congford 18.25 17.95 20.08 couth 23.49 23.51 23.64 ceath 19.96 21.39 21.71 offally 19.95 21.96 23.46 destmeath 20.46 21.28 23.11 destmeath 20.46 21.28 23.11 destmeath 20.46 21.28 23.12 destmeath 20.46 21.28 23.12 destmeath 20.46 21.28 23.11 destmeath 20.46 21.28 23.12 destmeath 20.46 21.28 23.12 destmenth 20.46 21.28 23.12 destmenth 21.28 23.12 23.61 destmenth 21.28 23.61 22.40 destmenth 24.83 24.58 25.33 destment County 20.18 19.98 20.12 destmenth County 18.56 17.59 19.70 destmenth County 19.87 21.96 destmenth Riding 19.31 20.40 22.61 <t< td=""><td>Kilkenny</td><td>19.19</td><td>19,21</td><td>19.49</td></t<>	Kilkenny	19.19	19,21	19.49	
South 23.49 23.51 23.64 Seath 19.96 21.39 21.71 Sestmeath 20.46 21.28 23.16 Sexford 19.91 21.13 21.25 Sicklow 21.89 23.61 22.40 Stare 17.79 17.03 18.46 Sork County Borough 24.83 24.58 25.33 Sork County 20.18 19.98 20.12 Serry 18.10 17.46 18.30 Simerick County 18.56 17.59 19.70 Simerick County 18.56 17.59 19.70 Sipperary North Riding 19.98 19.87 21.96 Sipperary South Riding 19.31 20.40 22.61 Saterford County 19.57 20.20 19.85 Salway 18.50 17.55 19.50 Seitrim 16.43 15.44 17.00 Salway 16.90 16.47 17.27 Saligo 18.75 18.02 18.63 Savan 18.01 17.67 18.62 Savan 18.01 17.67 18.62 Savan 18.39 17.39 17.10 Savan 1	Laois	19.87	20.65	21.92	
Seath 19.96 21.39 21.71 Offaly 19.95 21.96 23.46 Sestmeath 20.46 21.28 23.11 Jexford 19.91 21.13 21.25 Jicklow 21.89 23.61 22.40 Jicklow 21.89 23.61 22.40 Jiare 17.79 17.03 18.46 Jork County Borough 24.83 24.58 25.33 Jork County 20.18 19.98 20.12 Jerry 18.10 17.46 18.30 Jimerick County 18.56 17.59 19.70 Jipperary North Riding 19.98 19.87 21.96 Jipperary South Riding 19.31 20.40 22.61 Jaterford County 19.57 20.20 19.85 Jaterford County 18.50 17.55 19.50 Jaiway 18.50 17.55 19.50 Jaiway 16.90 16.47 17.27 Jaigo 18.75 18.02 18.63 Jaiwan 18.61 17.67 <t< td=""><td>Longford</td><td>18.25</td><td>17.95</td><td>20.05</td></t<>	Longford	18.25	17.95	20.05	
19.95 21.96 23.46 19.91 21.28 23.11 19.91 21.13 21.25 10cklow 21.89 23.61 22.40 11cklow 21.89 23.61 22.40 11cklow 21.89 23.61 22.40 11cklow 21.89 23.61 22.40 11cklow 24.83 24.58 25.33 11cklow 20.18 19.98 20.12 11cklow 20.18 19.98 20.12 11chlow 20.18 19.98 20.12 11chlow 24.82 26.46 26.14 11chlow 19.98 19.87 21.96 11chlow 19.98 19.87 21.96 11chlow 19.98 19.87 21.96 11chlow 19.31 20.40 22.61 11chlow 19.31 20.40 22.61 11chlow 19.57 20.20 19.85 11chlow 19.57 20.20 19.85 11chlow 16.43 15.44 17.00	Louth	23.49	23.51	23.64	
Sestmeath 20.46 21.28 23.11 21.25	Meath	19.96	21.39	21.71	
Sexford	Offaly	19.95	21,96	23.46	
Sicklow 21.89 23.61 22.40 21.89 17.79 17.03 18.46 20.18 24.58 25.33 24.58 25.33 20.18 19.98 20.12 20.18 19.98 20.12 20.18 20.18 20.18 20.19 20.18 20.19 20.18 20.19 20.18 20.10 20.18 20.10 20.18 20.10 20	Westmeath	20.46	21.28	23.11	
17.79	Wexford	19.91	21.13:	21.25	
Cork County 24.83 24.58 25.33 Cork County 20.18 19.98 20.12 Gerry 18.10 17.46 18.30 Imerick County 24.82 26.46 26.14 Imerick County 18.56 17.59 19.70 Cipperary North Riding 19.98 19.87 21.96 Cipperary South Riding 19.31 20.40 22.61 Caterford County Borough 25.22 26.59 24.66 Calway 18.50 17.55 19.50 Calway 18.50 17.55 19.50 Calway 16.43 15.44 17.00 Cascommon 17.39 16.97 16.28 Cavan 18.75 18.02 18.63 Cavan 18.01 17.67 18.62 Conegal 18.39 17.39 17.10 Conaghan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Wicklow	21.89	23.61	22.40	
Cork County 20.18 19.98 20.12 derry 18.10 17.46 18.30 dimerick County Borough 24.82 26.46 26.14 dimerick County 18.56 17.59 19.70 dipperary North Riding 19.98 19.87 21.96 dipperary South Riding 19.31 20.40 22.61 daterford County Borough 25.22 26.59 24.66 daterford County 19.57 20.20 19.85 dalway 18.50 17.55 19.50 dalyo 16.43 15.44 17.00 dayo 16.90 16.47 17.27 dayo 18.75 18.02 18.63 dayan 18.75 18.02 18.63 dayan 18.39 17.39 17.10 dangan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Clare	17.79	17.03	18.46	
derry 18.10 17.46 18.30 dimerick County Borough 24.82 26.46 26.14 dimerick County 18.56 17.59 19.70 dipperary North Riding 19.98 19.87 21.96 dipperary South Riding 19.31 20.40 22.61 daterford County Borough 25.22 26.59 24.66 daterford County 19.57 20.20 19.85 dalway 18.50 17.55 19.50 dayo 16.43 15.44 17.00 dayo 16.90 16.47 17.27 doscommon 17.39 16.97 16.28 dayan 18.01 17.67 18.62 dayan 18.39 17.39 17.10 donegal 18.39 17.39 17.10 donaghan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Cork County Borough	24.83	24.58	25.33	
dimerick County 24.82 26.46 26.14 dimerick County 18.56 17.59 19.70 dipperary North Riding 19.98 19.87 21.96 dipperary South Riding 19.31 20.40 22.61 daterford County Borough 25.22 26.59 24.66 daterford County 19.57 20.20 19.85 dalway 18.50 17.55 19.50 deitrim 16.43 15.44 17.00 dayo 16.90 16.47 17.27 doscommon 17.39 16.97 16.28 dayan 18.01 17.67 18.62 donegal 18.39 17.39 17.10 donaghan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Cork County	20.18	19.98	20.12	
Timerick County Tipperary North Riding Tipperary South Riding Tipperary South Riding Taterford County Borough Taterford County Talerford Count	Kerry	18.10	17.46	18.30	
Cipperary North Riding 19.98 19.87 21.96 Cipperary South Riding 19.31 20.40 22.61 Caterford County Borough 25.22 26.59 24.66 Caterford County 19.57 20.20 19.85 Calway 18.50 17.55 19.50 Calway 16.43 15.44 17.00 Cayon 16.90 16.47 17.27 Coscommon 17.39 16.97 16.28 Cayan 18.01 17.67 18.63 Conegal 18.39 17.39 17.10 Conaghan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Limerick County Borough	24.82	26.46	26.14	
Cipperary South Riding 19.31 20.40 22.61 Caterford County Borough 25.22 26.59 24.66 Caterford County 19.57 20.20 19.85 Calway 18.50 17.55 19.50 Ceitrim 16.43 15.44 17.00 Cayo 16.90 16.47 17.27 Coscommon 17.39 16.97 16.28 Cayan 18.75 18.02 18.63 Cavan 18.01 17.67 18.62 Conegal 18.39 17.39 17.10 Conaghan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Limerick County	18.56	17.59	19.70	
Naterford County Borough 25.22 26.59 24.66 Naterford County 19.57 20.20 19.85 Nalway 18.50 17.55 19.50 Neitrim 16.43 15.44 17.00 Nayo 16.90 16.47 17.27 Noscommon 17.39 16.97 16.28 Nayan 18.75 18.02 18.63 Navan 18.01 17.67 18.62 Nonegal 18.39 17.39 17.10 Nonaghan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Tipperary North Riding	19.98	19.87	21.96	
Materford County 19.57 20.20 19.85 Salway 18.50 17.55 19.50 Seitrim 16.43 15.44 17.00 Mayo 16.90 16.47 17.27 Soscommon 17.39 16.97 16.28 Sligo 18.75 18.02 18.63 Savan 18.01 17.67 18.62 Sonegal 18.39 17.39 17.10 Honaghan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Tipperary South Riding	19.31	20.40	22.61	
18.50 17.55 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 19.50 16.47 17.27 19.50 16.47 17.27 19.50 16.97 16.28 19.60 18.02 18.63 18.01 17.67 18.62 19.50 19.50 17.67 18.62 19.50 19.50 17.67 18.62 19.50 19.50 17.39 17.10 100 19.35 18.95 20.32	Waterford County Borough	25.22	26.59	24.66	
deitrim 16.43 15.44 17.00 dayo 16.90 16.47 17.27 doscommon 17.39 16.97 16.28 digo 18.75 18.02 18.63 davan 18.01 17.67 18.62 donegal 18.39 17.39 17.10 donaghan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Waterford County	19.57	20.20	19.85	
Iayo 16.90 16.47 17.27 Ioscommon 17.39 16.97 16.28 Iigo 18.75 18.02 18.63 Iavan 18.01 17.67 18.62 Ionegal 18.39 17.39 17.10 Ionaghan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Galway	18.50	17.55	19.50	
Iayo 16.90 16.47 17.27 Ioscommon 17.39 16.97 16.28 Iigo 18.75 18.02 18.63 Iavan 18.01 17.67 18.62 Ionegal 18.39 17.39 17.10 Ionaghan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Leitrim	16.43	15.44	17.00	
10 scommon 17.39 16.97 16.28 11 go 18.75 18.02 18.63 12 avan 18.01 17.67 18.62 13 avan 17.39 17.10 14 avan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Mayo			17.27	
11igo 18.75 18.02 18.63 Savan 18.01 17.67 18.62 Sonegal 18.39 17.39 17.10 Sonaghan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Roscommon	17.39	16.97	16.28	
Savan 18.01 17.67 18.62 Sonegal 18.39 17.39 17.10 Sonaghan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Sligo	18.75	·	18.63	
conegal 18.39 17.39 17.10 donaghan 19.35 18.95 20.32	Cavan	18.01	17.67	18.62	
Ionaghan 19,35 18.95 20.32	Donega1	18.39		17.10	
Ireland 21.57 21.57 21.57	Monaghan	19,35	•	20.32	
	Ireland	21.57	21.57	21.57	

The birth rate is high in Dublin city and county and in the neighbouring counties, as well as in the county boroughs of Cork, Limerick and Waterford, whilst a low birth rate is found for most of the western counties.

The actual birth rate is generally close to the rate expected on the basis of age structure, and even closer when differences in proportions of married women are taken into account. If the areas are ranked by expected or actual birth rate, the rank correlation coefficient between expected and actual birth rate is .900 for the first set, and .945 for the second set of expected figures. Thus local variations in age and conjugal condition distribution account to a large extent for regional differences in the birth rate, and there appear to be little differences in family formation habits.

Birth rates by themselves have little meaning, and it is of interest to consider the level of births in relation to the number required for replacement of the population. For this purpose, gross and net reproduction rates may be computed. The gross reproduction rate indicates the number of baby girls to whom, together with a corresponding number of baby boys, the average woman will give birth to in the course of her life at existing agespecific fertility rates and in the absence of any deaths incurred by females before the age of 50. The net reproduction rate measures the same but with due allowance made for deaths occurring to potential mothers both in childhood and in the childbearing period.

The gross and net reproduction rates are not perfect measures of fertility, and in particular suffer from the defect that fertility is confounded with nuptiality. Nevertheless they provide useful measures, a

figure of 1 indicating exact replacement, and a difference from 1 the theoretical percentage increase or decrease within a generation.

For the average of the years 1960-62, the gross reproduction rate works out as 1.877 and the net reproduction rate as 1.796, thus indicating, with certain qualification, a natural population increase of 80% within a generation. Fertility is considerably above the comparable figures for 1955-57 which are estimated as 1.675 for the gross and 1.580 for the net reproduction rate. It is also considerably above the level of England and Wales, where the gross and net reproduction rates are similarly estimated at 1.347 and 1.305 respectively.

A striking contrast with England and Wales is also obtained when the timing of the births is considered. If a group of women are 2 ollowed throughout their lifetime it is estimated that the median age at birth, i.e. the age at which they will have given birth to half of the children whom they will ultimately have borne, is 28.66 for England and Wales but 31.95 for Ireland. Thus English women will have completed more than half their family formation by the time they reach the age of 30, whilst in Ireland, women make a greater contribution to population growth at ages over 30 than at ages under 30.

An attempt has also been made of estimate regional variations in fertility. Eight groups of boroughs or counties have been distinguished, and for each of these groups, the gross and net reproduction rates together with median age of mother at birth is shown in Table 14.

Table 14. Fertility indicators in different areas, 1960-62

Area	Reproduct	ion rate	Median age of mother at birth	
Area	gross net		(Years)	
Cublin County Borough	1.683	1.606	30.70	
Dublin and Louth Countie	s 1,865	1.780	30.42	
Remainder of Leinster	2.072	1.978	30.71	
Cork, Limerick and Waterford County Boroughs	1.925	1.838	30.45	
Cork, Limerick and Waterford Counties	1.825	1.741	31.33	
Remainder of Munster	1.997	1.905	31.20	
Connaught	1.889	1.801	31.72	
Ulster (3 counties)	1.843	1.758	31.46	
Ireland	1.877	1.796	31.95	

The highest reproduction rates are found for Leinster outside Dublin and Louth and for Munster outside Cork, Limerick and Waterford, where a fairly high nuptiality is combined with fairly high fertility. In Dublin city, on the other hand, where nuptiality is only moderately high and fertility well below the national average, the reproduction rates are at a somewhat lower level than for the country as a whole. The median age of mother at birth is somewhat lower in Leinster and in the Munster boroughs than in the rest of the country.

On the whole, however, the similarities are more striking than the differences. The large inter-area variations in the extent of economic development have not so far produced very considerable variations in family formation habits, and it still makes sense to speak of an Irish pattern of large families.

. LABOUR FORCE AND EMIGRATION.

In studying socio-economic population characteristics long term trends are less important and short term
movements more important than in a purely demographic

analysis. The present analysis will therefore be confined to the decade 1951-61, some attention been given to annual changes.

In 1951, out of a population of 2,960,600 there were 1,272,000 or 43.0% gainfully occupied. The size of the labour force declined more rapidly than total population during the following decade, with the result that out of 2,818,300 persons, only 1,108,100 or 39.3% are recorded as being in gainful occupations. In other words, the labour force was reduced by 163,900 persons.

This number may be split up into five components indicating respectively the effect of changes in total population size, sex structure, age structure, conjugal condition structure of the female population, and changes in work participation rates, i.e. proportions occupied in various population groups.

For this purpose, four hypothetical totals of gainfully occupied are calculated for 1961, based on 1951 work participation rates (a) for the population as a whole, (b) for all males and all females, (c) for males and females of each age group; (d) ditto but separating single, married and widowed women. The age groups used are individual ages from 14 to 19 (combined for married and widowed women), the five-year age groups 20-24, 25-29, ..., 40-44, the ten-year age groups 45-54, 55-64, then 65-69, 70-74, 75 and over. From these totals, the contribution of each factor to the decline in the labour force is obtained by difference, as given in Table 15.

Table 15. Analysis of changes in number gainfully occupied, 1951-1961

	Number (thousand)
Gainfully occupied 1951	1,272.0
Effect of changes in :	
total population size sex structure age structure conjugal status structure specific work participation rates	-61.1 - 7.1 -60.0 -17.4 -18.3
Gainfully occupied 1961	1,108.1

The major part of the explanation thus may be said to lie in the falling population and in the age distribution becoming less favourable. The increasing proportion of married women and changes in work participation rates were also contributory factors. Computer of French

The changes which work participation rates have undergone are, however, not uniform and do not even all point in the same direction. An analysis of this factor is therefore given in Table 16 in some proportional and the second of detail.

. Table 16. Changes in work participation for various population groups, 1951-61

Compatible of the second	Number oo (thou	cupied.	Occupied as % of total		Description
Population group	On 1951 basis	Actual	On 1951 basis	Actual	
Males 14-19 20-24, 25-64 , -65-	96.6 77.5 588.3	84.3 72.4 587.9 76.9	96.3 95.2	56.2 90.0 95.2 51.5	. e 1. f.a. . e
Females Single 14-19 20-24 25-64 65- Married Widowed	94.4	65.8 50.8 103.1 9.6 24.3 33.1	47.6 	47.0 83.7 62.3 23.8 5.2 26.2	i garanta da
All 14 and over	1,126.4	1,108.1	56.4	55.4	

Whereas in 1961 as in 1951 about 35 of the mor - probably *irtually all of the able bodis - between 25 and 65 years of age are gainfully occupied, there is an increasing proportion of men under 25 who have not yet entered the labour force and an increasing proportion of men over 65 who have retired. The work force is thus reduced by about 27,000. This is partly offset by higher work participation rates for single women between 20 and 65 which added 12,000 to the labour force. Minor upward changes in work participation rates for married women and downward changes for single women under 20 and over 65 and for widows only mean a fractional reduction in the number gainfully occupied.

Changes in population size and age distribution, with their repercussions on the labour force, may likewise be considered as the joint effect of two factors, one of them being the natural ageing process combined with births and deaths, the other one external migration.

happened to the population of the country in the absence of emigration. This has been estimated by assuming the same number of births from the second quarter of 1951 to the first quarter of 1961 as actually registered, the mortality conditions of the 1950-52 life tables applying for the first five years and those of the abridged 1960-62 life tables applying for the second five years of the intercondal period. Table 17 compares this hypothetical 1961 population with the actual one and the effect of migration is obtained by difference. It should be noted that no allowance has been made for loss of births to Ireland through emigration of parents or potential parents prior to the birth of their children.

Table 17. Hypothetical and actual population by age, 1961.

Age	Males	thous	ands)	Females (thousands)		
group	Without migration	Actual	Effect of	Without migration	Actual	Effect of migration
	migiation		migration	maga do ao		
0-4	149.8	153.4	+3.6	143.8	147.4	+3.6
5 - 9	151.1	147.0	-4.1	145.4	140.7	-4.7
10-14	158.4	148.3	-10.1	151.1	140.5	-10.6
15-1 9	142.6	120.3	-22.3	136. 9	113.5	
20-24	131.6	80.4	-51.2	127.3	77.6	-49.7
25-29	124.1	72.3	-51.8	114.1	73.1	-41.0
30-34	103.5	75.2	-28.3	95.2	77.5	-17.7
35-3 9	97.4	81.6	-15.8	96.8	85.2	-11.6
40-44	93.7	84.8	-8. 9	92.7	85.5	-7.2
45-49	98.2	89.0	-9.2	95.2	85.6	-9.6
50-54	88.1	81.7	-6.4	81.9	75.4	-6.5
55-59	74.2	68.6	-5 ,6	72.8	67.5	-5.3
60-64	70.3	64.4	-5.9	71.3	66,6	-4.7
65-69	50.2	51.1	+0.9	53.1	52.3	-0.8
70-74	41.2	44.1	+2.9	45.0	48.7	+3.7
75-79	29.1	29.7	+0.6	32.2	33.5	+1.3
80-84	17.6	16.7		21.6	20.4	1
5 and over	6.9	7.7		10.0	10.8	1
All ages	1,628.0	1,416.5	-211.5	1,586.4	1,401.8	-184.6

Net migration thus appears to have reduced the size of the population by about 396,000 during the last decade, males accounting for the greater half of the loss. The number of young people in their twenties has been most drastically reduced, the loss amounting to more than 40% in the case of men aged 25-29; men and women aged 20-29 accounted for almost half the total of the outward migration. All age groups from 5 to 65 share the loss of numbers in various degrees. On the other hand, it appears that on balance some men and women of retiring age have returned to Ireland, and there also seems to be a recent inward balance in the number of couples with small children.

In 1951, the total population of the country was 2,960,600 persons. In the absence of migration, the number would thus have increased by 253,800 to 3,214,400; in actual fact, it fell by 142,300 to 2,818,300. It is also estimated that, assuming the additional labour supply arising from demographic causes could have been absorbed in

the economy, the labour force would have risen from 1,272,000 by about 142,600 to 1,414,600 instead of declining by 163,900 to 1,108,100, and the overall work participation rate would have risen from 43.0% to 44.0% instead of falling to 39.3%.

Actual net outward migration in the intercensal period 1951-61, as deduced from the recorded balance of births and deaths, amounted to about 409,000 persons. This is somewhat higher than the total of 396,000 deduced from Table 17. The discrepancy is explained by the fact that emigration tended to reduce by about 13,000 the number of deaths occurring in the country; these would have been lost to the country even in the absence of migration.

and the estimate of total population, the estimated migration each year may be deduced; the figures obtained differ from those given for net passenger movement. The split-up of change in population size is shown in Table 18, together with changes in the labour force as estimated in "Economic Statistics"; these are likewise split up into changes in number at work and in unemployment. These figures are based on a revised estimate for the labour force in 1951, and thus the total for the decade somewhat differs from that previously quoted.

Table 18.. Population and labour force changes each year, April 1951 - April 1961.

.*	Popt	ılation	change	Labo	our force	e change
	(thousand)			(thousand)		
Period	Total	Births 1ess deaths	Net migration	Total	At work	Unemployed
1951-52	-8	+27	-35	-8	-22	+14
1952-53	-4	+29	-33	-23	-29	+6
1953-54	-8	+28	-36	-3	-3	0
1954-55	-20	+25	-45	-20	-17	-3
1955-56	-23	+25	-48	-20	-21	+1
1956-57	-13	+28	-41	-26	-41	+15
1957-58	-32	+26	-5 8	-21	-1 6	-5
1958-59	-7	+25	-32	-12	-8	-4
1959-60	-14	+27	-41	-11	-5	- 6
1960-61	-14	+26	-40	-1 0	3	- ク
1951-61	-143	+266	-409	-154	-165	+11

Assuming that the labour force estimates are reasonably accurate, an interesting problem poses itself. The change in the labour force may be considered as made up by two components, viz. the domestic change and net migration of the labour force, the domestic change being the balance of intake into and withdrawals from the occupied population. Is it possible to estimate the two components?

For the period 1951-61, it has previously been estimated that without migration, the labour force would have increased by about 143,600 persons, or working with adjusted 1951 labour force figures, this comes to about 150,000 persons. As, in fact, the labour force declined by 154,000 persons it seems that 304,000 potential workers emigrated.

To assume a net domestic labour force intake of 15,000 persons each year does not seem satisfactory as it gives unrealistic figures for the net emigration each year. Instead, we may assume that withdrawals from the labour force, and thus the net intake, is closely related to changes in numbers at work, and that migration of workers is closely correlated with total migration. The problem then reduces to one of regression analysis.

Given three variables x_1 , x_2 , y, all measured as differences from their means. y is to be split up into two additive components y_1 , y_2 such that

$$y_1 = \beta_1 x_1 + \epsilon_1$$
 $y_2 = \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon_2$
 $y_1 + y_2 = y$

The maximum likelihood values for y_1 and y_2 are sought, given a series of observations for x_1 , x_2 and y. Assuming

that the errors ϵ and ϵ have equal variances; the solution is

$$y_1 = \frac{1}{3}(y + b_1 x_1 - b_2 x_2)$$

 $y_2 = \frac{1}{3}(y - b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2)$

where b_1 and b_2 are the partial regression coefficients of y on x_1 and x_2 .

In this instance, \mathbf{x}_1 refers to change in number at work, \mathbf{x}_2 to net migration and \mathbf{y} to change in total labour force. Computation yields

$$b_1 = 0.4620$$
 $b_2 = 0.3646$

thus for the variables $\mathbf{x_1}'$, $\mathbf{x_2}'$, $\mathbf{y'}$, $\mathbf{y_1}'$ and $\mathbf{y_2}'$ which include their constant term

$$y_1' = 19.06 + 0.5 y' + 0.2310 x_1' - 0.1823 x_2'$$
 $y_2' = -19.06 + 0.5 y' - 0.2310 x_1' + 0.1823 x_2'$

Table 19 shows the result in numerical terms.

Table 19. Analysis of labour force change, 1951-1961.

			(thousands)
Period	Total	Net domestic intake	Net migration
1951-52	-8	+16	-24
1952-53	-23	+7	-30
1953-54	-3	+23	-26
1954-55	-20	+13	-33
1955-56	-20	+13	-33
1956-57	-26	+4	-30
1957-58	-21	+16	-37
1958-59	-12	+17	-29
1959-60	-11	+20	-31
1960-61	-10	+21	-31
1951-61	-154	+150	-304

If this analysis is correct, then not only does migration of workers vary, largely in response to the employment situation, but there are also considerable year-to-year variations in the extent to which marginal workers like married women and old people enter or leave the labour force. Of course, as these conclusions lean heavily on data and assumptions which may not be highly accurate, they are of a somewhat tentative nature.

6. OUTLOOK

The period analysed here ends in 1961. In one sense, this is an advantage since this year may mark a turning point in Irish population history. For the first time since 1948, the total population began to increase again after 1961, and it is possible that the total of 2,818,000 persons reached then will be the lowest one recorded for time to come. The total population is estimated to have risen in each of the three years following April 1961, the total rise up to April 1964 amounting to 31,000 persons.

During this 3-year period, average annual births amounted to 61,700 which is the same as the average over the decade 1951-60, but the number of deaths, about 33,000 per annum, was well below the level of the preceding decade. With a natural increase of 36,000 persons, the total net emigration which is implied in the population estimates amounts to 55,000 for the three years, or an annual emigration rate somewhat above 18,000.

In the light of what has been shown for the recent past, it is possible to arrive at a reasonable assessment of what is likely to happen during the whole of the current decade and thus at a population projection for 1971. Assumptions for births, deaths and migration are of course required.

For male and female births, it will simply be assumed that the average number between 1961 and 1971 is the same as between 1961 and 1964. Whilst the current high marriage rates and low emigration make for an increased number of births, this may be offset by some reduction in marital fertility. For a long-term projection, the fertility assumptions would need to be more carefully considered, but for a medium-term forecast the level of births is not of outstanding importance.

Mortality is likely to fall further, but the reduction is assumed to be only half of what it was between 1951 and 1961. The precise assumption made is that the survivor ratios between 5-year age groups deduced from the 1960-62 life tables operate during the five years 1961-66, but in the following quinquennium higher survivor ratios operate. Denoting these ratios for 1951, 1961 and 1971 by r_{51} , r_{61} and r_{71} respectively, the assumption is that

$$(1 - r_{71})/(1 - r_{61}) = \sqrt{(1 - r_{61})/(1 - r_{51})}$$

estimated as 180,000 persons. The total is based on recent experience and is in agreement with the targets set by the Second Economic Programme. The sex and age distribution of this migrating population is assumed to be the same as that estimated for 1951-61 and given in Table 17. This may not be quite realistic, as some of the age groups recently depleted by emigration may be less likely to furnish substantial numbers for emigration than others which are more intact, but it is difficult to see how a better hypothesis could be obtained. Table 20 gives the result of the calculations.

20. Fopulation 1961 and projected population 1971 by sex and age (thousands).

4.7.0	19	61	1971		
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females	
C - 4	153.4	147.4	155.3	148.5	
5 - 9	147.0	140.7	150.1	143.5	
10 - 14	148.3	140.5	147.7	141.6	
15 - 1 9	120.3	113.5	136.2	128.4	
20 - 24	80.4	77.6	124.2	117.5	
25 - 29	72.3	73.1	95.8	94.3	
30 - 34	75.2	77.5	66.7	69.0	
35 - 39	81.6	35.2	64.1	67.0	
40 - 44	84.8	85.5	69.6	73.0	
45 - 49	39.0	85.6	74.9	78.7	
50 - 54	81.7	75.4	77.4	79.0	
5 5 - 59	63.6	67.5	78.5	77.8	
60 - 64	64.4	66.6	67.2	66.3	
65 - 69	51.1	52.3	53.3	57.4	
70 - 74	44.1	48.7	45.4	53.0	
75 - 79	29.7	33.5	26.9	34.3	
8 C - 84	16.7	20.4	16.9	22.4	
35 and over	7.7	10.8	7.5	12.0	
All ages	1,416.5	1,401.8	1,460.2	1,463.7	

Whilst too much reliance should not be put upon the figures for individual age groups, the broad tendencies are clear. If the assumptions made are realistic, total population will grow by more than 100,000 in the current decade to reach a total of over 2,900,000 by 1971 and thus return to the 1955 level.

The excess of men over women in the population as a whole would be wiped out and there may be a slight surplus of women over men, though this would be really marked among people aged 65 years and over only, and it does not apply to the age groups under 3G.

There would be a substantial increase of 150,000 or more in the number of men and women between 15 and 30 years of age, and also a rise in the number of old people. On the other hand, the number of persons in the age groups between 30 and 50 would decline by about 100,000.

At 1961 work participation rates in each group, the total labour force would rise from 1,108,000 to about 1,175.000, made up of 850,000 men and 325,000 women. The gainfully occupied proportion of the total population would rise from 39.37 to 40.27, and women would form the greater part of the net inflow into the labour force.

The analysis has been presented here as seen from the demographic angle, and for a fuller treatment, economic factors should be taken into account. Nevertheless it seems worth while to note the demographic influences in assessing economic prospects and policies. The conclusion obtained with regard to the growing importance of women in the labour force forms one example of such demographic tendencies which could be borne in mind.