

# Working Paper No. 349

July 2010

# The Impact of Tax Reform on New Car Purchases in Ireland

# Hugh Hennessy<sup>a</sup> and Richard S.J. Tol<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>

Abstract: We examine the impact of recent tax reforms in Ireland on private car transport and its greenhouse gas emissions. A carbon tax was introduced on fuels, and purchase (vehicle registration) and ownership (motor) taxes were switched from engine size to potential emissions. We use a demographic model of the car stock (by age, size, and fuel) and a car purchase model that reflects the heterogeneous distribution of mileage and usage costs across various engine sizes. The model shows a dramatic shift from petrol to diesel cars, particularly for large engines. The same pattern is observed in the latest data on car sales. This has a substantial impact on tax revenue as car owners shift to the lower tax rates. The tax burden has shifted from car ownership to car use, and that the overall tax burden on private car transport falls. As diesel engines are more fuel efficient than petrol engines, carbon dioxide emissions fall modestly or, if we consider the rebound effect of travel costs on mileage, minimally. From the perspective of the revenue, the costs per tonne of carbon dioxide avoided are (very) high.

Key words: Private car transport, Republic of Ireland, carbon dioxide emissions, fiscal policy Corresponding Author: hugh.hennessy@esri.ie

а

ESRI working papers represent un-refereed work-in-progress by researchers who are solely responsible for the content and any views expressed therein. Any comments on these papers will be welcome and should be sent to the author(s) by email. Papers may be downloaded for personal use only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Economic and Social Research Institute, Dublin, Ireland

b Institute for Environmental Studies, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Department of Spatial Economics, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Department of Economics, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland

# The Impact of Tax Reform on New Car Purchases in Ireland

## 1. Introduction

Private car transport accounted for approximately 12% of total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Ireland in 2006 (O'Gallachoir et al, 2009). This represented a significant increase over time, both in absolute terms and in terms of its share of total emissions. Transport<sup>1</sup>, as a whole, accounted for around 36% of total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in this period. The transport sector was identified as a serious cause for concern to policymakers due to its unsustainable dependence on oil and its negative environmental impacts. The National Climate Change Strategy Ireland (NCCSI, 2007) forecasted that this sector would account for the largest increase in emissions by 2010 if no policy measures were taken. This, along with concerns over the long-term supply of oil, provided some of the background for policy intervention in 2007. One such policy objective is improving the efficiency of the car fleet by incentivizing purchase of more fuel efficient cars. This can be done by incentivising the purchase of diesel cars which are more efficient and have lower running costs (Figure 1)

The number of private cars in Ireland has risen significantly in the last two decades. This has led to a noticeable convergence in the number of cars per thousand between Ireland and its European counterparts. This is a crude measure for comparing levels of car ownership across countries. Although a deep recession has delayed many of the negative trends in private car transport, this does not mean that private car transport has become more sustainable. The recent depression led to a collapse in new car sales, but new car sales have now started to recover. Government policy can significantly influence this purchase decision though taxation (Mayeres and Proost, 2001; Verboven, 2002; Ryan et al. 2009).

Private car transport produces many negative externalities, particularly in relation to the environment (Mayeres and Proost, 2001). This provides a further rationale for government intervention. Taxation can achieve a reduction in this negative externality (Baumol, 1972). This can be done in terms of a tax which taxes emissions directly or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This refers to the two digit NACE code 36 which covers all aspects of transport including freight

indirectly though a differential taxation regime on purchase. The EU have set emission targets for new cars that enter the car fleet. They have adopted a target of 130 g/km CO<sub>2</sub> for weighted new car sales by 2015 and 110 g/km CO<sub>2</sub> by 2020 (European Commission, 2002, 2005). As noted in Kunert and Kuhfeld (2007), Ryan et al. (2009), and Mandell (2009), there is a European consensus to move away from purchase taxes and move towards use taxes which are differentiated by emissions. The European Commission also proposes abolishment of registration taxes. Ireland has no indigenous car manufacturing industry and is thus a technology taker. Because it is such a small market, tax changes in Ireland will have a negligible effect on the design of cars. However, car manufacturers will respond to a coordinated policy change across the entire European Union.

In July 2008, the car tax structure was changed in Ireland. Taxation on new private cars is now based on (expected) emissions per kilometre rather than engine size. This change affects both the purchase price and the ownership costs of all new private cars but has no effect on the usage costs. This has no direct effect on carbon dioxide emissions. A more efficient fleet will only result in lower emissions if there is no change in mileage.

Similar schemes exist in many other European countries. In 2002, the UK introduced a carbon-differentiated vehicle taxation policy. This policy was also accompanied by a modified benefit-in-kind tax policy on company cars to encourage purchase of more efficient vehicles. However, this policy only impacted on the ownership cost per year and had no effect on initial purchase cost. The difference between the tax bands was substantially smaller compared to the Irish system (VCA, 2008). This taxation system changed again in April 2010. Although there still remains only one type of vehicle tax, the revamped tax further encouraged the purchase of low emitting vehicles. 'First-year taxes' are such that low emitting cars are exempt from vehicle tax in the first year in contrast to high emitting vehicles that are penalised heavily in the first year. Sweden has previously utilised a car taxation policy similar to Ireland. Although they have no direct purchase taxes, Sweden has offered a subsidy (~£1000/car) to encourage the purchase of environmentally friendly cars. This policy was used in conjunction with carbon-differentiated ownership taxes which had the effect of encouraging the purchase of cars with emissions of under 120 g/km. This system was intended to remain in operation until

2010 but was amended in 2009 due to the unexpectedly large sales that it caused (Mandell, 2009). The subsidy was replaced by a five year exemption from motor tax.

There are various reasons for adopting a new tax regime that switches tax incidence onto emissions rather than engine size. As we show in the paper, there is not perfect correlation between engine size and emissions and thus this tax change has significant effects for the market share of each fuel type. This also means that the tax change will create a structural break when projecting emissions from private car transport.

In this paper, we present a methodology to explain the significant effect the recent tax change has had on the fuel share of new car sales in Ireland. Section 2 surveys the relevant literature. Section 3 discussed the data used in the analysis. Section 4 presents the methodology. Section 5 discusses the results. Finally, Section 6 offers conclusions.

## 2. Previous Research

Since the late 1980s, the share of diesel cars has increased steadily across Europe (Pock, 2010). However, the environmental benefit of diesel cars over petrol cars is contentious (Verboven, 2002; Mayeres and Proost, 2001). In terms of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, diesel cars are superior due to their higher fuel efficiency. However, diesel engines produce higher NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and also produce particulates (North et al., 2006). Improvements in the diesel engine, like the introduction of turbo and direct injection, have helped to reduce the gap considerably between petrol and diesel cars in important physical attributes like acceleration and speed (Verboven, 2002; Mayeres and Proost, 2001). This has had the effect of making the two fuel types closer substitutes in terms of consumer preferences. However, these two fuels are treated very differently across European countries in terms of taxation policy. These heterogeneous taxation policies largely explain the different penetration rates of diesel in the European Union (Ryan et al., 2009)

The share of diesel sales varies considerably across European countries (See Figure 2). There are three types of taxation that affect the car purchase decision – purchase, ownership and usage. The rates applied to these vary significantly. Kunert and Kuhfeld

(2007) examine these various rates across the EU.<sup>2</sup> They find that Ireland has the 3<sup>rd</sup> highest tax burden on petrol and diesel car ownership within the EU 25. They conclude that the structure of vehicle taxes should be rebalanced with CO<sub>2</sub> not being the sole focus. Verboven (2002) examines the diesel tax policies in Belgium, France and Italy. He concludes that these differential tax rates have led to a certain degree of price discrimination in the diesel car market. He also finds that when controlling for engine size, annual mileage is the main determinant factor in the fuel choice decision. Verboven (2002) focuses on the tax differential in relation to two of its components, namely purchase and ownership<sup>3</sup>. The other component is in relation to the amount of excise applied to each litre of the fuel. This can have both a direct and indirect effect on emissions. It directly affects usage and it indirectly affects the efficiency level of purchased new cars. Many studies have looked at both the short-run and long-run price elasticity of fuel demand using both micro and macro data (See Goodwin et al. (2004) for a recent review of this literature). Sterner (2007) highlights the importance of these fuel taxes in relation to controlling emissions from private car transport. These fuel prices also have an impact on the efficiency of the car stock as noted in Li et al. (2009). They conclude that a 10% increase in fuel prices leads to a 0.22% increase in car fleet economy in the short-run and a 2.04% increase in the long-run.

Ryan et al. (2009) explicitly examine the impact of the different fiscal regimes on passenger car sales and the associated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions intensity of these sales. They conclude that carbon differentiated circulation taxes are preferable to registration taxes when attempting to encourage a more efficient fleet. Verboven (2002) uses these tax differentials to analyze the extent of price discrimination that they have created in three European car markets. He finds that countries with a low tax burden on diesel cars (France and Belgium) have a higher level of price discrimination compared with normal tax burden (Italy). Mayeres and Proost (2001) examine the rationale for the different taxation treatment of diesel cars. Using a general equilibrium framework, they conclude that the negative environmental costs of diesel cars have a higher social cost than its

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See also Mayeres and Proost (2001) for an account of the various taxation policies towards diesel fuel in the European Union. The European Commission reports (COWI, 2002 and TIS, 2002) also give a full account of the various fiscal treatments in 2002

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The net savings in relation to fuel usage are indeed calculated. However, the difference across the three countries is small in comparison with the differences apparent in annual car tax

petrol equivalent. Thus, increasing the taxation on diesel can achieve significant welfare improvements. This paper essentially puts a higher social cost on the negative effects of diesel cars  $(NO_x)$  over the negative effects of petrol cars  $(CO_2)$ .

These studies focus on the effects of the differential tax regimes that are in place for diesel engines. However, another important effect is the fuel choice decision which affects the penetration rates of diesel cars. Rouwendal and De Vries (1999) design a model that looks explicitly at the fuel choice decision. They conclude that the tradeoffs between petrol and diesel are dependent on the number of kilometers driven. This is in agreement with Verboven (2002) who argues that all vehicle attributes are homogenous to a first approximation.

There is a relatively small literature that directly looks at the impact of taxes differentiated by (potential) carbon dioxide emissions. Mandell (2009) examines the system in Sweden and finds that when the tax change affects the purchase and ownership, these types of policies can have a much larger impact on the market share of diesels. In the UK, assessing the exact impact of the 2002 tax change has proved complex (Ward-Jones, 2008). It has been shown that motor tax is not significant in the car choice decision (Lehmen et al., 2003), but more recent analysis would suggest that consumers are indeed switching to lower emission vehicles (SMMT, 2007). However, the UK system penalizes high emissions far less than the current Irish system. The recent change to incorporate 'first-year taxes' somewhat addresses this imbalance.

Many studies have looked at modeling vehicle choice (Bresnahan and Schmalensee (1987), Berry, Levinsohn, and Pakes (1995, 1999), Brownstone and Train (1999)) and the effect of the various car attributes on this choice. These papers attempt to model the various levels of product heterogeneity, which are apparent in car choice decisions. Berry, Levinsohn, and Pakes (1995) provide the seminal work in this area using a random coefficient logit model to investigate vehicle choice. This type of model provides more realistic substitution patterns than the traditional logit model. This methodology produces higher cross-price elasticities for cars with more similar attributes. This model uses knowledge of income distribution to infer willingness to pay estimates for the various products attributes. However, this model has not been applied explicitly to the fuel choice decision.

Pock (2010) uses a similar model to the model used in this paper to explain (but not predict) the different diesel to petrol ratios across Europe, which is important when constructing estimates of gasoline demand. Verboven (2002) uses a utility maximising model where mileage is the only heterogeneity among consumers. Heijnen and Kooreman (2006) extend this model, relaxing the assumption that the price elasticity for mileage is zero, and look at Nash equilibria to examine the interactions between taxation and car use. Rouwendal and De Fries (1999) construct a structural model which consists of a logit model for fuel choice, with a sample selection for mileage. These models suffer from limited knowledge of the exact form of the mileage distribution and the assumption that the mileage distribution is homogenous across engine sizes. Verboven (2002) specifies the cumulative distribution function parametrically as a simple function of mean annual mileage and standard deviation.

In Ireland, recent research has focused on the efficiency of the new car fleet (O'Gallachoir et al., 2009; Howley et al., 2007). Hennessy and Tol (2010) focus on modeling the car stock and point out that changing patterns of new car sales have little effect on stock efficiency in the short run. They also emphasise the important of an engine size disaggregated model when making future projections about the car stock. Other research on car purchase decisions in Ireland includes Mariuzzo et al. (2009) which investigates new car sales using a nested logit approach. This research examines the existence of price co-ordination among sellers in the new car market.

Giblin and McNabola (2009) have modeled the recent tax change using the COWI cross-country car choice model<sup>4</sup> to simulate the impact of both the VRT change and the motor tax change, collectively and separately. They find that the change in ownership taxes has a larger effect on car choice than the change in purchase tax. They predict an increase (6%) in the market share of diesel cars. This is very much against recent observed data which suggests that the share of diesel cars has actually increased from 25% to 57% (CSO, 2010)

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The COWI model was developed in Denmark as part European Commission project. It is a discrete choice car model which has previously been used to study the potential effects of fiscal measures on the efficiency of new passenger vehicles in the EU (COWI,2002)

#### 3. Data

We use car and mileage data. The car data is collected from a variety of sources. The mileage data is constructed by the CSO and has been disaggregated by engine size, fuel type and age.

The car prices, disaggregated by 9 engine categories, are taken from Society of Irish Motoring Industry (SIMI). Before the tax change, the average difference between petrol and diesel was approximately €2000. This is in line with previous estimates (Verboven, 2002; Ryan et al., 2009). Fuel prices are taken from the AA database of fuel prices. These are annual prices which are normalised across the year and the country. Diesel prices have been historically lower then petrol prices in Ireland (see Figure A1). However, this trend was reversed in 2007 but has since returned. In terms of the model, the relative difference in purchase prices and fuel prices between the two fuel types is the desired input value. We assume homogenous maintenance costs and that the resale value of the car is a function of the initial purchase price including taxes. Other car attributes like horsepower, speed and size are assumed to be homogenous to a first approximation as in Verboven (2002)

The use of mileage distribution data when evaluating car purchase decisions is limited in the literature. The random coefficient model of Berry, Levinsohn and Pakes (1995) allows for the use of distributional data to examine heterogeneity in consumer preferences. In their paper, they use income and demographic distributional data. However, no mention of mileage distribution is included in this paper or any subsequent paper using this type of methodology. Our mileage distribution data is provided by the CSO. This dataset uses two types of administrative data to construct estimates of annual mileage disaggregated by engine size, fuel type and age. First, it uses data collected from the National Car Test (NCT) which constructs estimates of mileage based on odometer readings. This procedure is described and analysed in Kelly et al. (2009). However, as noted in Kelly et al. (2009), the NCT is only conducted on cars that are 4 years or older.

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>5</sup>The notable exception to this is Verboven (2002) who uses mileage distribution data taken from industry associations ACEA, FEBIAC, ANDTRI, and from survey data by De Borger (1987).

This leads to the need for supplementary data source for newer cars. Information on cars less than 4 years old is appended to the dataset from various private sources. <sup>6</sup>

The mileage data used in this analysis is based on odometer readings. In Hennessy and Tol (2010), we examined mileage distribution using the Household Budget Survey (HBS) which asks an explicit question on annual mileage. There is evidence of rounding error around the mean value (16,000 km). There is some evidence in the literature to suggest that mileage estimates from survey data may be biased (Prieger (2005); Staplin et al. (2008)). This arises because respondents essentially answer a question that they have never precisely measured. However, at an aggregated level we find little to justify this assertion, assuming that our odometer estimates in 2005 are an accurate representation of the population (see Figure A2). In the HBS, we are only able to disaggregate by average engine size per household and thus mileage distributions by engine size will not be as accurate.

We examine the aggregate distribution of mileage from the year 1999 to 2008 (see Figure 3). The proportion of cars that can be described as high mileage cars (> 25,000km) remains constant over the time period. The biggest change is in the low to medium mileage cars. We see that there are now more cars that drive fewer kilometres than in 1999. This can be partially explained by the increase in the number of multi-car households.

The main advantage of complete distributional data is that it allows us to identify the relevant market for a more efficient engine type. Consumers who drive the least will care little about the savings of driving a more efficient car and thus be heavily influenced by initial purchase price. Figure 4 shows that the shapes of the distribution are significantly different between engines sizes. High (low) mileage and large (small) engines go together.

9

-

<sup>6</sup> Motorcheck.ie provides a database of private cars in Ireland. This provides information on annual mileage of cars that are less then 4 year old.

#### 4. Model

We quantify the effect of the recent tax change in Ireland which has switched the incidence of taxation from engine size to carbon dioxide emissions. We are interested in the fuel share given an exogenous car stock disaggregated by engine size<sup>7</sup>. We construct a total life-cycle cost model which estimates a mileage break-even point. At any point greater than this break-even point, a rational agent will opt for the more efficient car and choose a diesel. The costs of a car consist of the purchase price, the operational cost, the maintenance cost and resell value (1). These can be compared across both fuel types. We assume that the various attributes within comparative engine size of petrol and diesel cars are homogeneous to a first approximation (Rouwendahl and De Fries (1999); Verboven (2002))<sup>8</sup>

The total life-cycle cost of car ownership is given by:

(1) 
$$C_{i} = P_{i}(1+\alpha_{i}) + \beta_{i} + \sum_{t=0}^{T_{i}} \frac{M_{i,t} + \gamma_{i,t} + (\pi_{i,t} + \delta_{i,t}) \varepsilon_{i,t} D_{t}}{(1+\rho)^{t}} - \frac{S_{i}}{(1+\rho)^{t}}$$

where

- $C_i$  is the net present cost of a car of type I;
- *P* is the purchase price;
- $\alpha$  is the value added tax and stamp duty;
- $\beta$  is the vehicle registration tax;
- M is the annual maintenance:
- γ is the annual motor tax;
- $\pi$  is the price of fuel;
- $\delta$  is the excise duty on fuel;
- $\varepsilon$  is the fuel efficiency of the car;
- *D* is the annual distance drive;

<sup>7</sup> This exogenous car stock, disaggregated by age and engine size is taken from the ISus Car Model which is described in Hennessy and Tol (2010)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The rationale for this assumption has improved in the last decade with the advent of turbo and direct injection. This considerably reduces the noticeable drag that characterised previous diesel fuelled cars.

- $\rho$  is the discount rate;
- T is the life-time of the car; and
- S is the sell value of the car.

If we hold all parameters constant over time and at their current value (as a car buyer might), then (1) simplifies to:

(2) 
$$C_{i} = P_{i}(1+\alpha_{i}) + \beta_{i} + \frac{(1+\rho)^{T_{i}}-1}{(1+\rho)^{T_{i}}} \frac{1+\rho}{\rho} \left[ M_{i} + \gamma_{i} + (\pi_{i} + \delta_{i}) \varepsilon_{i} D \right] - \frac{S_{i}}{(1+\rho)^{T_{i}}}$$

Diesels are more expensive to buy but cheaper to drive. For the same life-time, the breakeven distance is given by:

(3) 
$$D^* = \frac{\frac{(1+\rho)^T}{(1+\rho)^T - 1} \frac{\rho}{1+\rho} \left[ \Delta \left( P(1+\alpha) \right) + \Delta \beta - \frac{\Delta S}{\left( 1+\rho \right)^T} \right] + \Delta M + \Delta \gamma}{\Delta \left( (\pi+\delta)\varepsilon \right)}$$

where the  $\Delta s$  denote the difference between car type i and j.

Equation (3) gives the break-even distances for each engine size. We evaluate this preand post-tax reform, keeping all other variables constant. We do so for each engine size.

This is important as the computed efficiency advantage of diesel over petrol varies by
engine size. We follow Verboven (2002), assuming that anyone with a mileage above the
break-even distance buys a diesel car. The market share of diesel cars thus follows from
the mileage distribution shown in Figure 4. We calibrate the discount rate against
observed market shares in 2007.

We integrated this break-even distance model into the ISus Car Model. This model is described in Hennessy and Tol (2010) and it forecasts the private car stock in Ireland out to 2025. It provides forecasts of the number of cars disaggregated by engine size, age and fuel type. It also provides forecast of mileage by engine size and fuel type. This allows us to examine the effect of the tax change in relation to emissions. There is also a tax component of this model which allows us to project the amount of tax revenue generated by private car taxation.

#### 5. Results

In this section, we discuss the results of the model with specific details of the changes in relative break-even distance and how this along with the distribution of mileage by engine size affects the market share of diesels. We use the cumulative mileage distribution in 2008 as it best represents the current population. We conduct a number of different simulations to examine the different effects on the share of diesel cars per engine size.

#### 5.1 Baseline Scenario

Our baseline specification includes all aspects of current government car taxation policy. As discussed previously, this includes a purchase tax (VRT) and an ownership tax (Motor tax) based on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions rather than engine size as before 2008. Table 1 shows the taxes before and after the 2008 reform. We find a substantial effect overall, varying by engine size. The break-even distance figures are shown in Table 2 and Figure 4. The effect of the tax reform is greatest in the medium size car market. It has little effect on the small size car market where the tax change has benefited both diesel and petrol. Furthermore, smaller cars tend to be driven less far. This effect is also evident for large cars (over 2 litre engine) where petrol cars have lost substantial market share. However, the tax reform has also meant that this segment of the car market has decreased. Table 3 shows the impact on market share.

Our impact estimates are greater than the impact found of similar schemes in the literature (Lehman et al., 2003; Mandell, 2009). However, as discussed previously, this particular tax reform is far larger than the scheme's that have been analysed in the previous studies. When it is compared against the VED scheme in the UK, the Irish band based system has a much wider range than the UK system (see Table A1). This, along with the system of no car purchase taxes in the UK, explains why of the Irish carbon-differential tax scheme has such a large effect.

## 5.2 Decomposition

We apply the model to a scenario where only the purchase price changed as a result of the tax change. This reduces the purchase price of lower emission cars. It has no direct effect on annual ownership taxes or annual usage costs. We find a substantial effect in the predicted market share of diesels by engine size (Table 4) when compared with the observed shares of diesels before the tax change.

We also apply the model to a scenario where only the ownership cost changes as a result of the tax change. This imposes solely an annual cost on car ownership regardless of usage. The simulation results are reported in Table 5. Again, we see a substantial increase in the 'dieselisation' rate across the medium to large engine classes.

It is apparent that the overall effect of the ownership tax reform is larger than that of the purchase tax reform. This confirms previous literature (Ryan et al., 2009; Giblin and McNabola, 2009; Mandell, 2009).

## 5.3 Effect of Tax Reform on Government Revenue

We examine the impact of the tax change on government revenue. The motor tax reform only affects cars registered after June 2008. Thus, it takes time for this tax change to have a significant effect on motor tax revenue (see Figure 5). By 2025, the tax shortfall will be as much as €400 million compared to the situation of unreformed taxes. This assumes that motor tax rates remain constant.

In contrast, the effect on Vehicle Registration Tax revenue is immediate. As new car sales have fallen sharply due to the severe recession, there has been a collapse in VRT revenue. However, with the advent of the tax reform, a return to "normal" car sales will not mean a return to pre-reform VRT revenues. Figure 5 shows that a significant short fall will emerge in VRT revenue. This effect depends on the rate of recovery in the economy which affects both the amount and cost of cars being purchased (see Table 6). Giblin and McNabola (2009) estimate that based on annual sales of approximately 130,000 new cars, the shortfall in tax revenue will be €181 million. This is broadly similar with the estimates derived in this analysis (see Table 6).

A carbon tax was introduced in late 2009 in Ireland. This has placed an extra unit cost on each litre of fuel consumed. The carbon tax raises the price of a litre of petrol by 4.2 cents and the price of diesel by 4.9 cent. This reflects the higher carbon content in diesel fuel. Table 7 shows the projected tax revenue, which exceeds the revenue foregone by the motor and vehicle registration tax reform in the first year (2010) only. After that, the overall tax burden on private transport is lower than it would have been without tax reform – by up to half a billion euro in 2025. The tax reforms of 2008 and 2009 shifted the burden of taxation from car ownership to car use – as many economists would recommend (Verhoef et al., 1995; Proost et al., 2002; Ubbels et al., 2002) – but also reduced the total tax burden – a topic on which opinions diverge (Parry and Small, 2005).

## 5.4 Emissions and Tax Revenue Lost per Tonne of Carbon Dioxide

Tax reform impacts carbon dioxide emissions. There are two effects. First, the fuel efficiency of cars improves as drivers switch from petrol to diesel. Table 7 shows that the effect is relatively modest – about 0.1 million tonnes of carbon dioxide, compared to some 6.0 mln tCO<sub>2</sub> for total private transport emissions. However, higher fuel efficiency implies lower driving costs. If we include this rebound effect, carbon dioxide emissions still fall, but only by a little bit. See Table 7.

Table 7 also shows the cost to the exchequer per tonne of carbon dioxide avoided. In 2011, it varies between €3,800/tCO<sub>2</sub> without the rebound effect and the carbon tax and €17,000/tCO<sub>2</sub> with rebound and carbon tax. The range gets wider over time, increasing to  $1,600-121,000 \text{ €/tCO}_2$  in 2025.

For comparison, the 2010 price of carbon dioxide in the EU ETS is about €15/tCO<sub>2</sub>; this may rise to 30-70 €/tCO<sub>2</sub> in 2020 (Böhringer et al., 2009). One cannot, of course, compare the marginal welfare cost to the marginal tax revenue. The tax revenue foregone is a transfer from the government to owner and drivers of cars. Nevertheless, the government is responsible for meeting the targets for greenhouse gas emission reduction – and it can meet such targets through the purchase of certified emission credits, which tend to trade at a price slightly below ETS permits.

#### **6. Conclusions**

This paper studies the impact of shifting vehicle registration and motor taxes from engine size to potential emissions, as introduced in Ireland in July 2008. This has led to a substantial shift to diesel cars, particularly for larger engines. We estimate that the overall market share of diesels will increase from 25% to 58% as a direct result of the tax reform. As a result, the revenues from the vehicle registration tax dropped instantaneously and permanently; while the revenues from the motor tax drop gradually over time as the car stock adjusts to the new pattern of car sales. The government introduced a carbon tax on transport fuels in November 2009. In the long run, the extra revenue from the carbon tax is about 1/6 of the revenue foregone from the vehicle registration and motor taxes. Although the tax burden has shifted from car ownership to carbon use, the overall tax burden has fallen. Because diesel cars are more fuel efficient, carbon dioxide emissions fall but only modestly. As travel costs fall, people may well drive more; correcting for this rebound effect, the drop in emissions is minimal. As a consequence, the cost to the revenue per tonne of carbon dioxide avoided is high if not very high.

These results come with a number of caveats. First, the model predicts a large shift from petrol to diesel. This has been consistently observed over the last 18 months. While this is a welcome validation of the model, car sales were at an exceptionally low level because of the depression of the Irish economy. Our predictions should be checked with data once the economy has returned to normal. Second, we foresee a reduction in tax revenue of half a billion euro per year. This is probably not acceptable given the fiscal situation. It is therefore likely that tax rates will be adjusted upwards. Tax bands may also be changed. The lowest band at present is 120 g/km and this gives no incentive to purchase a 90 g/km car over a 119 g/km car. This problem will be highlighted as larger numbers of low emissions hybrid cars come onto the market. Thirdly, we use a simple car purchase model, calibrated with aggregate data. The robustness of our results should be checked against more detailed models estimated with micro-data. The same is true for our assumptions about distance driven. All this is deferred to future research.

#### **References**

Baumol, W. J. 1976. "On Taxation and the Control of Externalities", *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 62, No. 3, pp. 307-322.

Berry, S., Levinsohn, J., & Pakes, A. 1995. "Automobile Prices in Market Equilibrium", *Econometrica*, Vol. 63, No. 4, pp. 841-890.

Boehringer, C., Rutherford, T. F., & Tol, R. S. J. 2009. "THE EU 20/20/2020 targets: An overview of the EMF22 assessment", *Energy Economics*, Vol. 31, No. Supplement 2, p. S268-S273.

Bresnahan, T. F. & Schmalensee, R. 1987. "The Empirical Renaissance in Industrial Economics: An Overview", *Journal of Industrial Economics*, Vol. 35, No. 4, pp. 371-378.

Brownstone, D. & Train, K. 1998. "Forecasting new product penetration with flexible substitution patterns", *Journal of Econometrics*, Vol. 89, No. 1-2, pp. 109-129.

Central Statistics Office. 200 Vehicles Licensed for the First Time.

COWI 2002. "Fiscal Measures to Reduce CO2 Emissions from New Passenger Cars" Study Contract for the EU Commission. DG Environment: Brussels.

EC 2002. "Taxation of passengers cars in the european union - options for action at national and community levels" COM (2002) 431 final.

EC 2005. "Proposal for a council directive on passenger car related taxes (presented by the Commission)" COM (2005) 261 final.

Gallachoir, B., Howley, M., Cunningham, S., & Bazilian, M. 2009. "How private car purchasing trends offset efficiency gains and the successful energy policy response", *Energy Policy*, Vol. 37, No. 10, pp. 3790-3802.

Giblin, S. & McNabola, A. 2009. "Modelling the impacts of a carbon emission-differentiated vehicle tax system on CO2 emissions intensity from new vehicle purchases in Ireland", *Energy Policy*, Vol. 37, No. 4, pp. 1404-1411.

Hennessy, H. & Tol, R. S. J. 10 A.D. "The Impact of Climate Policy on Private Car Ownership in Ireland", Papers Economic and Social Research Institute (ESRI).

Howley, M., O'Leary, F., & Gallachoir, B. 2007. "Energy in Transport" Sustainable Energy Ireland.

Kelly, A., Ryan, L., Casey, E., & O'Riordan, N. 2009. "Profiling road transport activity: Emissions from 2000 to 2005 in Ireland using national car test data", *Transport Policy*, Vol. 16, No. 4, pp. 183-192.

- Kunert, U. & Kuhfeld, H. 2007. "The diverse structures of passenger car taxation in Europe and the EU Commissions proposal for reform", *Transport Policy*, Vol. 14, No. 4, pp. 306-316.
- Lehman, S., McLoughlin, K., & Dewhurst, M. 2003. "Assessing the Impact of Graduated Vehicle Excise Duty" Quantitative Report, MORI.
- Li, S., Timmins, C., & von Haefen, R. H. 2009. "How Do Gasoline Prices Affect Fleet Fuel Economy?", *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 113-137.
- Mandell, S. 2009. "Policies towards a more efficient car fleet", *Energy Policy*, Vol. 37, No. 12, pp. 5184-5191.
- Mariuzzo, F., Walsh, P. P., & van Parys, O. 2009. "Estimating the Price Overcharge from Cartelisation of the Irish Automobile Industry", *The Economic and Social Review*, Vol. 40, No. 2, p. 165â€"182.
- Mayeres, I. & Proost, S. 2001. "Should Diesel Cars in Europe Be Discouraged?", *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, Vol. 31, No. 4, pp. 453-470.
- NCCSI 2007. "National Climate Change Strategy Ireland" The Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government.
- North, R. J., Noland, R. B., Ochieng, W. Y., & Polak, J. W. 2006. "Modelling of Particulate Matter Mass Emissions from a Light-Duty Diesel Vehicle", *Transportation Research: Part D: Transport and Environment*, Vol. 11, No. 5, pp. 344-357.
- Parry, I. W. H. & Small, K. A. 2005. "Does Britain or the United States Have the Right Gasoline Tax?", *American Economic Review*, Vol. 95, No. 4, p. 1276.
- Pock, M. 2010. "Gasoline demand in Europe: New insights", *Energy Economics*, Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 54-62.
- Prieger, J. 2004. "An Empirical Investigation of Biased Survey Data and an Attempted Cure", Working Papers University of California at Davis, Department of Economics.
- Proost, S., Van Dender, K., Courcelle, C., De Borger, B., Peirson, J., Sharp, D., Vickerman, R., Gibbons, E., O'Mahony, M., Heaney, Q., Van Den Bergh, J., & Verhoef, E. 2002. "How large is the gap between present and efficient transport prices in Europe?", *Transport Policy*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 41-57.
- Proost, S. & Van Dender, K. 2001. "The Welfare Impacts of Alternative Policies to Address Atmospheric Pollution in Urban Road Transport", *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, Vol. 31, No. 4, pp. 383-411.
- Rouwendal, J. & de Vries, F. 1999. "The taxation of drivers and the choice of car fuel type", *Energy Economics*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 17-35.

Ryan, L., Ferreira, S., & Convery, F. 2009. "The impact of fiscal and other measures on new passenger car sales and CO2 emissions intensity: Evidence from Europe", *Energy Economics*, Vol. 31, No. 3, pp. 365-374.

Schipper, L., Marie-Lilliu, C., & Fulton, L. 2002. "Diesels in Europe: Analysis of Characteristics, Usage Patterns, Energy Savings and CO2 Emission Implications", *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, Vol. 36, No. 2, pp. 305-340.

Schipper, L. 2009. "Fuel economy, vehicle use and other factors affecting CO2 emissions from transport", *Energy Policy*, Vol. 37, No. 10, pp. 3711-3713.

Staplin, L., Gish, K. W., & Joyce, J. 2008. "[`]Low mileage bias' and related policy implications--A cautionary note", *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, Vol. 40, No. 3, pp. 1249-1252.

Sterner, T. 2007. "Fuel taxes: An important instrument for climate policy", *Energy Policy*, Vol. 35, No. 6, pp. 3194-3202.

TIS 2002. "Study on vehicle taxation in the member states of the European Union" Final Report. TAXUD\00\310. Brussels.

Ubbels, B., Rietveld, P., & Peeters, P. 2002. "Environmental Effects of a Kilometre Charge in Road Transport: An Investigation for the Netherlands", *Transportation Research: Part D: Transport and Environment*, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 255-264.

Verboven, F. 2002. "Quality-Based Price Discrimination and Tax Incidence: Evidence from Gasoline and Diesel Cars", *RAND Journal of Economics*, Vol. 33, No. 2, pp. 275-297.

Verhoef, E., Nijkamp, P., & Rietveld, P. 1995. "Second-Best Regulation of Road Transport Externalities", *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, Vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 147-167.

Ward-Jones, R. S. 2010. "Environmentally Friendly Cars: Promoting and increasing their use in the UK", *Earth & E-nvironment*, Vol. 3, pp. 282-317.

Zervas, E. & Bikas, G. 2005. "CO2 Changes from the Increasing Percentage of Diesel Passenger Cars in Norway", *Energy & Fuels*, Vol. 19, No. 5, pp. 1919-1926.

## **Tables:**

Table 1: Tax rates before and after the July 2008 reform

Diesel								Pet	rol		
Tax <sup>a</sup>	ISus	VR	$\Gamma^{b}$	MT <sup>c</sup>		Tax <sup>a</sup>	ISus	VR	$\Gamma^{b}$	M	T <sup>c</sup>
		Bef	aft	bef	aft			Bef	aft	bef	aft
A	A	22.5%	14%	165	104	A	A	22.5%	14%	165	104
В	В	22.5%	16%	165	156	В	В	22.5%	16%	165	156
В	D	22.5%	16%	275	156	В	D	22.5%	16%	275	156
В	E	25%	16%	320	156	С	E	25%	20%	320	302
В	F	25%	16%	343	156	D	F	25%	24%	343	447
В	G	25%	16%	428	156	D	G	25%	24%	428	447
C	Н	25%	20%	550	302	Е	Н	25%	28%	550	630
Е	I	30%	28%	800	550	F	I	30%	32%	800	1050
G	J	30%	36%	1150	2100	G	J	30%	36%	1150	2100

<sup>\*</sup> This table shows how the engine size classes in the ISus car model (A-J) are transformed into new emissions bands (A-G). Note this is an illustrative example. The concordance table used to create emissions bands is based on actual sales in 2008-2009. This shows that petrol with the same engine size do not necessarily end up in the same emission bands

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Classification in the tax code

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Vehicle Registration Tax, ad valorem

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Motor Tax, specific duty, €/year

Table 2: The effect of tax reform on break-even distance (1000 kilometre)

Engine size	Before tax reform	After tax reform
< 900 CC	50.7	51.6
901-1000 CC	50.7	51.3
1001-1300 CC	27.2	23.5
1301-1400 CC	26.4	18.3
1401-1500 CC	21.2	9.7
1501-1600 CC	27.5	14.4
1601-2000 CC	14.0	8.6
2001-2400 CC	18.1	5.6
> 2401 CC	17.8	10.3

Table 3: The effect of tax reform on diesel market share (in fraction) of new car purchases

Engine Size	Before tax reform	After tax reform
<900 cc	0.00	0.00
900-1000 cc	0.00	0.00
1001-1300 cc	0.03	0.06
1301-1400 cc	0.08	0.30
1401-1500 cc	0.26	0.90
1501-1600 cc	0.09	0.57
1601-2000 cc	0.68	0.89
2001-2400 сс	0.52	0.94
>2400 cc	0.50	0.81

Table 4: The effect of a change in Vehicle Registration Tax only

Engine size	Market share of diesel	Break-even distance
< 900 CC	0.01	51.6
901-1000 CC	0.00	51.3
1001-1300 CC	0.03	28.7
1301-1400 CC	0.06	26.8
1401-1500 CC	0.56	14.6
1501-1600 CC	0.23	22.1
1601-2000 CC	0.65	15.1
2001-2400 CC	0.71	13.4
> 2401 CC	0.77	11.2

Table 5: The effect of a change in Motor Tax only

Engine size	Market share of diesel	Break-even distance
< 900 CC	0.01	50.1
901-1000 CC	0.00	50.1
1001-1300 CC	0.10	21.7
1301-1400 CC	0.31	17.6
1401-1500 CC	0.63	13.1
1501-1600 CC	0.33	19.5
1601-2000 CC	0.85	9.8
2001-2400 CC	0.53	17.8
> 2401 CC	0.50	17.6

Table 6: The effect of tax reform on car tax revenue (million euro)

	N	Motor Tax		Vehicle Registration Tax		
	No tax reform	Tax reform	Difference	No tax reform	Tax reform	Difference
2009	800	791	9	282	223	59
2010	800	782	18	242	190	52
2011	809	763	46	783	615	168
2012	817	744	73	802	630	172
2013	824	723	101	817	642	175
2014	832	702	130	824	648	176
2015	857	693	164	1041	819	222
2016	882	683	199	1028	810	218
2017	905	674	231	1009	795	214
2018	926	665	261	990	781	209
2019	947	658	289	985	777	208
2020	968	652	316	973	768	205
2021	988	649	339	968	765	203
2022	1008	648	360	986	779	207
2023	1028	649	379	976	772	204
2024	1047	652	395	992	785	207
2025	1066	657	409	1006	796	210

Table 7: Emissions and tax revenue lost per tonne of carbon dioxide

	Tax revenue			Carbon dioxide avoided		Revenue forgone per	
						emission avoided	
	MT+VRT <sup>a</sup>	CTb	Total	with rebound	without rebound	Minimum <sup>c</sup>	Maximum <sup>d</sup>
	Mill	ion euro		thousand t	onnes of CO <sub>2</sub>	€/t0	$CO_2$
2010	-70	120	50	6	14	5150	-4381
2011	-214	119	-95	39	55	3859	17105
2012	-245	118	-127	68	96	2561	35083
2013	-276	116	-160	93	134	2066	53763
2014	-306	115	-191	113	169	1812	70610
2015	-386	116	-270	133	210	1840	93071
2016	-417	116	-301	146	246	1694	105061
2017	-445	117	-328	151	278	1602	111603
2018	-470	117	-353	152	305	1543	113834
2019	-497	116	-381	147	327	1518	112689
2020	-521	116	-405	139	346	1506	107713
2021	-542	116	-426	127	360	1504	99930
2022	-567	116	-451	113	371	1528	90110
2023	-583	115	-468	108	378	1542	86475
2024	-602	115	-487	128	382	1578	103595
2025	-619	114	-505	148	382	1621	120987

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Change in tax revenue due to motor tax and vehicle registration tax reform <sup>b</sup> Change in tax revenue due to introduction of carbon tax on transport fuel <sup>c</sup> Without rebound, without carbon tax <sup>d</sup> With rebound, with carbon tax




Figure 1: Overall running costs of diesel and petrol cars (annual)




Figure 2: The share of diesel across Europe




Figure 3: Cumulative distribution of annual mileage for selected years




Figure 4: The effect of tax reform on break-even distance




Figure 5: The effect of tax reform on tax revenue

# Appendix:

Table A1: Comparison of ownership taxes in Ireland and the UK

Emissions	UK rates	Irish rates
Up to 100	£0	€104
101 to 110	£35	€104
111 - 120	£35	€104
121 - 130	£120	€156
131 - 140	£120	€156
141 - 150	£125	€302
151 - 165	£150	€447
166 - 175	£175	€447
176 - 185	£175	€630
186 - 200	£215	€630
201 - 225	£215	€1,050
226 - 255	£405	€2,100
Over 255	£405	€2,100




Figure A1: Time series of monthly fuel prices




Figure A2: Comparing the distribution of survey vs. odometer data

		Title/Author(s)
Year	Number	ESRI Authors/Co-authors Italicised
2010		
	348	Climate Policy under Fat-Tailed Risk: An Application of FUND David Anthoff and Richard S.J. Tol
	347	Corporate Expenditure on Environmental Protection Stefanie A. Haller and Liam Murphy
	346	Female Labour Supply and Divorce: New Evidence from Ireland Olivier Bargain, Libertad González, <i>Claire Keane</i> and Berkay Özcan
	345	A Statistical Profiling Model of Long-Term Unemployment Risk in Ireland Philip J. O'Connell, Seamus McGuinness, Elish Kelly
	344	The Economic Crisis, Public Sector Pay, and the Income Distribution <i>Tim Callan,</i> Brian Nolan (UCD) and <i>John Walsh</i>
	343	Estimating the Impact of Access Conditions on Service Quality in Post Gregory Swinand, Conor O'Toole and Seán Lyons
	342	The Impact of Climate Policy on Private Car Ownership in Ireland Hugh Hennessy and Richard S.J. Tol
	341	National Determinants of Vegetarianism  Eimear Leahy, Seán Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol
	340	An Estimate of the Number of Vegetarians in the World Eimear Leahy, Seán Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol
	339	International Migration in Ireland, 2009  Philip J O'Connell and Corona Joyce
	338	The Euro Through the Looking-Glass: Perceived Inflation Following the 2002 Currency Changeover Pete Lunn and David Duffy
	337	Returning to the Question of a Wage Premium for Returning Migrants  Alan Barrett and Jean Goggin
2009	336	What Determines the Location Choice of Multinational Firms in the ICT Sector? <i>Iulia Siedschlag, Xiaoheng Zhang, Donal Smith</i>
	335	Cost-benefit analysis of the introduction of weight-based charges

	for domestic waste – West Cork's experience Sue Scott and Dorothy Watson
334	The Likely Economic Impact of Increasing Investment in Wind on the Island of Ireland
333	Conor Devitt, Seán Diffney, John Fitz Gerald, Seán Lyons and Laura Malaguzzi Valeri Estimating Historical Landfill Quantities to Predict Methane Emissions Seán Lyons, Liam Murphy and Richard S.J. Tol
332	International Climate Policy and Regional Welfare Weights Daiju Narita, <i>Richard S. J. Tol</i> , and <i>David Anthoff</i>
331	A Hedonic Analysis of the Value of Parks and Green Spaces in the Dublin Area Karen Mayor, Seán Lyons, David Duffy and Richard S.J. Tol
330	Measuring International Technology Spillovers and Progress Towards the European Research Area Iulia Siedschlag
329	Climate Policy and Corporate Behaviour  Nicola Commins, Seán Lyons, Marc Schiffbauer, and Richard S.J.  Tol
328	The Association Between Income Inequality and Mental Health: Social Cohesion or Social Infrastructure Richard Layte and Bertrand Maître
327	A Computational Theory of Exchange: Willingness to pay, willingness to accept and the endowment effect Pete Lunn and Mary Lunn
326	Fiscal Policy for Recovery  John Fitz Gerald
325	The EU 20/20/2020 Targets: An Overview of the EMF22 Assessment Christoph Böhringer, Thomas F. Rutherford, and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
324	Counting Only the Hits? The Risk of Underestimating the Costs of Stringent Climate Policy Massimo Tavoni, <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
323	International Cooperation on Climate Change Adaptation from an Economic Perspective Kelly C. de Bruin, Rob B. Dellink and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
322	What Role for Property Taxes in Ireland?  T. Callan, C. Keane and J.R. Walsh

321	The Public-Private Sector Pay Gap in Ireland: What Lies Beneath? Elish Kelly, Seamus McGuinness, Philip O'Connell
320	A Code of Practice for Grocery Goods Undertakings and An Ombudsman: How to Do a Lot of Harm by Trying to Do a Little Good Paul K Gorecki
319	Negative Equity in the Irish Housing Market David Duffy
318	Estimating the Impact of Immigration on Wages in Ireland Alan Barrett, Adele Bergin and Elish Kelly
317	Assessing the Impact of Wage Bargaining and Worker Preferences on the Gender Pay Gap in Ireland Using the National Employment Survey 2003 Seamus McGuinness, Elish Kelly, Philip O'Connell, Tim Callan
316	Mismatch in the Graduate Labour Market Among Immigrants and Second-Generation Ethnic Minority Groups Delma Byrne and Seamus McGuinness
315	Managing Housing Bubbles in Regional Economies under EMU: Ireland and Spain <i>Thomas Conefrey</i> and <i>John Fitz Gerald</i>
314	Job Mismatches and Labour Market Outcomes Kostas Mavromaras, <i>Seamus McGuinness</i> , Nigel O'Leary, Peter Sloane and Yin King Fok
313	Immigrants and Employer-provided Training  Alan Barrett, Séamus McGuinness, Martin O'Brien and Philip O'Connell
312	Did the Celtic Tiger Decrease Socio-Economic Differentials in Perinatal Mortality in Ireland? Richard Layte and Barbara Clyne
311	Exploring International Differences in Rates of Return to Education: Evidence from EU SILC Maria A. Davia, <i>Seamus McGuinness</i> and <i>Philip, J. O'Connell</i>
310	Car Ownership and Mode of Transport to Work in Ireland Nicola Commins and Anne Nolan
309	Recent Trends in the Caesarean Section Rate in Ireland 1999- 2006 Aoife Brick and Richard Layte
308	Price Inflation and Income Distribution  Anne Jennings, Seán Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol

307	Overskilling Dynamics and Education Pathways Kostas Mavromaras, <i>Seamus McGuinness</i> , Yin King Fok
306	What Determines the Attractiveness of the European Union to the Location of R&D Multinational Firms? <i>Iulia Siedschlag, Donal Smith, Camelia Turcu, Xiaoheng Zhang</i>
305	Do Foreign Mergers and Acquisitions Boost Firm Productivity? Marc Schiffbauer, Iulia Siedschlag, Frances Ruane
304	Inclusion or Diversion in Higher Education in the Republic of Ireland?  Delma Byrne
303	Welfare Regime and Social Class Variation in Poverty and Economic Vulnerability in Europe: An Analysis of EU-SILC Christopher T. Whelan and <i>Bertrand Maître</i>
302	Understanding the Socio-Economic Distribution and Consequences of Patterns of Multiple Deprivation: An Application of Self-Organising Maps Christopher T. Whelan, Mario Lucchini, Maurizio Pisati and Bertrand Maître
301	Estimating the Impact of Metro North  Edgar Morgenroth
300	Explaining Structural Change in Cardiovascular Mortality in Ireland 1995-2005: A Time Series Analysis <i>Richard Layte, Sinead O'Hara</i> and Kathleen Bennett
299	EU Climate Change Policy 2013-2020: Using the Clean Development Mechanism More Effectively Paul K Gorecki, Seán Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol
298	Irish Public Capital Spending in a Recession Edgar Morgenroth
297	Exporting and Ownership Contributions to Irish Manufacturing Productivity Growth Anne Marie Gleeson, <i>Frances Ruane</i>
296	Eligibility for Free Primary Care and Avoidable Hospitalisations in Ireland <i>Anne Nolan</i>
295	Managing Household Waste in Ireland: Behavioural Parameters and Policy Options John Curtis, Seán Lyons and Abigail O'Callaghan-Platt
294	Labour Market Mismatch Among UK Graduates; An Analysis Using REFLEX Data Seamus McGuinness and Peter J. Sloane

293	Towards Regional Environmental Accounts for Ireland Richard S.J. Tol , Nicola Commins, Niamh Crilly, Sean Lyons and Edgar Morgenroth
292	EU Climate Change Policy 2013-2020: Thoughts on Property Rights and Market Choices  Paul K. Gorecki, Sean Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol
291	Measuring House Price Change  David Duffy
290	Intra-and Extra-Union Flexibility in Meeting the European Union's Emission Reduction Targets <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
289	The Determinants and Effects of Training at Work: Bringing the Workplace Back In Phillip J. O'Connell and Delma Byrne
288	Climate Feedbacks on the Terrestrial Biosphere and the Economics of Climate Policy: An Application of <i>FUND Richard S.J. Tol</i>
287	The Behaviour of the Irish Economy: Insights from the HERMES macro-economic model Adele Bergin, Thomas Conefrey, John FitzGerald and Ide Kearney
286	Mapping Patterns of Multiple Deprivation Using Self-Organising Maps: An Application to EU-SILC Data for Ireland Maurizio Pisati, <i>Christopher T. Whelan</i> , Mario Lucchini and <i>Bertrand Maître</i>
285	The Feasibility of Low Concentration Targets: An Application of FUND Richard S.J. Tol
284	Policy Options to Reduce Ireland's GHG Emissions Instrument choice: the pros and cons of alternative policy instruments Thomas Legge and <i>Sue Scott</i>
283	Accounting for Taste: An Examination of Socioeconomic Gradients in Attendance at Arts Events  Pete Lunn and Elish Kelly
282	The Economic Impact of Ocean Acidification on Coral Reefs Luke M. Brander, Katrin Rehdanz, <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i> , and Pieter J.H. van Beukering
281	Assessing the impact of biodiversity on tourism flows: A model for tourist behaviour and its policy implications Giulia Macagno, Maria Loureiro, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and <i>Richard</i>

# S.J. Tol

280	Advertising to boost energy efficiency: the Power of One campaign and natural gas consumption Seán Diffney, Seán Lyons and Laura Malaguzzi Valeri
279	International Transmission of Business Cycles Between Ireland and its Trading Partners  Jean Goggin and Iulia Siedschlag
278	Optimal Global Dynamic Carbon Taxation  David Anthoff
277	Energy Use and Appliance Ownership in Ireland Eimear Leahy and Seán Lyons
276	Discounting for Climate Change David Anthoff, Richard S.J. Tol and Gary W. Yohe
275	Projecting the Future Numbers of Migrant Workers in the Health and Social Care Sectors in Ireland Alan Barrett and Anna Rust
274	Economic Costs of Extratropical Storms under Climate Change: An application of FUND Daiju Narita, <i>Richard S.J. Tol, David Anthoff</i>
273	The Macro-Economic Impact of Changing the Rate of Corporation Tax Thomas Conefrey and John D. Fitz Gerald
272	The Games We Used to Play An Application of Survival Analysis to the Sporting Life-course Pete Lunn
271	Exploring the Economic Geography of Ireland Edgar Morgenroth
270	Benchmarking, Social Partnership and Higher Remuneration: Wage Settling Institutions and the Public-Private Sector Wage Gap in Ireland  Elish Kelly, Seamus McGuinness, Philip O'Connell
269	A Dynamic Analysis of Household Car Ownership in Ireland Anne Nolan
268	The Determinants of Mode of Transport to Work in the Greater Dublin Area <i>Nicola Commins</i> and <i>Anne Nolan</i>
267	Resonances from <i>Economic Development</i> for Current Economic Policymaking

## Frances Ruane

266	The Impact of Wage Bargaining Regime on Firm-Level Competitiveness and Wage Inequality: The Case of Ireland Seamus McGuinness, Elish Kelly and Philip O'Connell
265	Poverty in Ireland in Comparative European Perspective Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maître
264	A Hedonic Analysis of the Value of Rail Transport in the Greater Dublin Area
	Karen Mayor, Seán Lyons, David Duffy and Richard S.J. Tol
263	Comparing Poverty Indicators in an Enlarged EU Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maître
262	Fuel Poverty in Ireland: Extent, Affected Groups and Policy Issues
	Sue Scott, Seán Lyons, Claire Keane, Donal McCarthy and Richard S.J. Tol
261	The Misperception of Inflation by Irish Consumers David Duffy and Pete Lunn
260	The Direct Impact of Climate Change on Regional Labour Productivity Tord Kjellstrom, R Sari Kovats, Simon J. Lloyd, Tom Holt, <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
259	Damage Costs of Climate Change through Intensification of Tropical Cyclone Activities: An Application of FUND Daiju Narita, <i>Richard S. J. Tol</i> and <i>David Anthoff</i>
258	Are Over-educated People Insiders or Outsiders? A Case of Job Search Methods and Over-education in UK Aleksander Kucel, <i>Delma Byrne</i>
257	Metrics for Aggregating the Climate Effect of Different Emissions: A Unifying Framework Richard S.J. Tol, Terje K. Berntsen, Brian C. O'Neill, Jan S. Fuglestvedt, Keith P. Shine, Yves Balkanski and Laszlo Makra
256	Intra-Union Flexibility of Non-ETS Emission Reduction Obligations in the European Union <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
255	The Economic Impact of Climate Change Richard S.J. Tol
254	Measuring International Inequity Aversion Richard S.J. Tol

253	Using a Census to Assess the Reliability of a National Household Survey for Migration Research: The Case of Ireland Alan Barrett and Elish Kelly
252	Risk Aversion, Time Preference, and the Social Cost of Carbon David Anthoff, Richard S.J. Tol and Gary W. Yohe
251	The Impact of a Carbon Tax on Economic Growth and Carbon Dioxide Emissions in Ireland Thomas Conefrey, John D. Fitz Gerald, Laura Malaguzzi Valeri and Richard S.J. Tol
250	The Distributional Implications of a Carbon Tax in Ireland Tim Callan, Sean Lyons, Susan Scott, Richard S.J. Tol and Stefano Verde
249	Measuring Material Deprivation in the Enlarged EU Christopher T. Whelan, Brian Nolan and Bertrand Maître
248	Marginal Abatement Costs on Carbon-Dioxide Emissions: A Meta- Analysis Onno Kuik, Luke Brander and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
247	Incorporating GHG Emission Costs in the Economic Appraisal of Projects Supported by State Development Agencies Richard S.J. Tol and Seán Lyons
246	A Carton Tax for Ireland Richard S.J. Tol, Tim Callan, Thomas Conefrey, John D. Fitz Gerald, Seán Lyons, Laura Malaguzzi Valeri and Susan Scott
245	Non-cash Benefits and the Distribution of Economic Welfare Tim Callan and Claire Keane
244	Scenarios of Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Aviation Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
243	The Effect of the Euro on Export Patterns: Empirical Evidence from Industry Data  Gavin Murphy and Iulia Siedschlag
242	The Economic Returns to Field of Study and Competencies Among Higher Education Graduates in Ireland Elish Kelly, Philip O'Connell and Emer Smyth
241	European Climate Policy and Aviation Emissions Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
240	Aviation and the Environment in the Context of the EU-US Open Skies Agreement Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol

239	Yuppie Kvetch? Work-life Conflict and Social Class in Western Europe Frances McGinnity and Emma Calvert
238	Immigrants and Welfare Programmes: Exploring the Interactions between Immigrant Characteristics, Immigrant Welfare Dependence and Welfare Policy  Alan Barrett and Yvonne McCarthy
237	How Local is Hospital Treatment? An Exploratory Analysis of Public/Private Variation in Location of Treatment in Irish Acute Public Hospitals  Jacqueline O'Reilly and Miriam M. Wiley
236	The Immigrant Earnings Disadvantage Across the Earnings and Skills Distributions: The Case of Immigrants from the EU's New Member States in Ireland Alan Barrett, Seamus McGuinness and Martin O'Brien
235	Europeanisation of Inequality and European Reference Groups Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maître
234	Managing Capital Flows: Experiences from Central and Eastern Europe Jürgen von Hagen and <i>Iulia Siedschlag</i>
233	ICT Diffusion, Innovation Systems, Globalisation and Regional Economic Dynamics: Theory and Empirical Evidence Charlie Karlsson, Gunther Maier, Michaela Trippl, <i>Iulia Siedschlag,</i> Robert Owen and <i>Gavin Murphy</i>
232	Welfare and Competition Effects of Electricity Interconnection between Great Britain and Ireland Laura Malaguzzi Valeri
231	Is FDI into China Crowding Out the FDI into the European Union? Laura Resmini and <i>Iulia Siedschlag</i>
230	Estimating the Economic Cost of Disability in Ireland John Cullinan, Brenda Gannon and <i>Seán Lyons</i>
229	Controlling the Cost of Controlling the Climate: The Irish Government's Climate Change Strategy Colm McCarthy, <i>Sue Scott</i>
228	The Impact of Climate Change on the Balanced-Growth- Equivalent: An Application of <i>FUND</i> David Anthoff, Richard S.J. Tol
227	Changing Returns to Education During a Boom? The Case of Ireland  Seamus McGuinness, Frances McGinnity, Philip O'Connell

	226	'New' and 'Old' Social Risks: Life Cycle and Social Class Perspectives on Social Exclusion in Ireland Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maître
	225	The Climate Preferences of Irish Tourists by Purpose of Travel Seán Lyons, Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
	224	A Hirsch Measure for the Quality of Research Supervision, and an Illustration with Trade Economists Frances P. Ruane and Richard S.J. Tol
	223	Environmental Accounts for the Republic of Ireland: 1990-2005 Seán Lyons, Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
2007	222	Assessing Vulnerability of Selected Sectors under Environmental Tax Reform: The issue of pricing power J. Fitz Gerald, M. Keeney and S. Scott
	221	Climate Policy Versus Development Aid Richard S.J. Tol
	220	Exports and Productivity – Comparable Evidence for 14 Countries The International Study Group on Exports and Productivity
	219	Energy-Using Appliances and Energy-Saving Features: Determinants of Ownership in Ireland Joe O'Doherty, <i>Seán Lyons</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
	218	The Public/Private Mix in Irish Acute Public Hospitals: Trends and Implications  Jacqueline O'Reilly and Miriam M. Wiley
	217	Regret About the Timing of First Sexual Intercourse: The Role of Age and Context <i>Richard Layte</i> , Hannah McGee
	216	Determinants of Water Connection Type and Ownership of Water-Using Appliances in Ireland Joe O'Doherty, <i>Seán Lyons</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
	215	Unemployment – Stage or Stigma? Being Unemployed During an Economic Boom Emer Smyth
	214	The Value of Lost Load Richard S.J. Tol
	213	Adolescents' Educational Attainment and School Experiences in Contemporary Ireland  Merike Darmody, Selina McCoy, Emer Smyth
	212	Acting Up or Opting Out? Truancy in Irish Secondary Schools

	Merike Darmody, Emer Smyth and Selina McCoy
211	Where do MNEs Expand Production: Location Choices of the Pharmaceutical Industry in Europe after 1992 Frances P. Ruane, Xiaoheng Zhang
210	Holiday Destinations: Understanding the Travel Choices of Irish Tourists Seán Lyons, Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
209	The Effectiveness of Competition Policy and the Price-Cost Margin: Evidence from Panel Data Patrick McCloughan, <i>Seán Lyons</i> and William Batt
208	Tax Structure and Female Labour Market Participation: Evidence from Ireland  Tim Callan, A. Van Soest, J.R. Walsh